



Agenda

Start Date: 18 Oct, 2023	Start Time: 16:15
Location: RUC, Building 13	Room: Yellow room
Last Meeting: 2 Oct 2023	Next Meeting: TBA, 2023

#	Agenda Item	Est. Time	Appx	Action
1	Formalities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointment of conductor - Appointment of minute taker - Approval of the agenda for this board meeting - Approval of the minutes from the last two board meetings 	16:15-16:20	A	
2	Building 16-24 workshop	16:20-17:05	B	Workshop
	Break	17:05-17:15		
3	Master reforms workshop	17:15-17:45	C	Workshop
4	Common language of SR workshop (incl. Break)	17:45-18:45	D	Workshop
5	Calendar games	18:45-18:55		Decision
6	A.O.B.	18:55-19:00		

Agenda Prepared By: Jannick Grenberg Persson - persson@sr-ruc.dk

Minutes Prepared By: Sofie Würtz - sofiew@ruc.dk & Jannick Grenberg Persson - persson@sr-ruc.dk

APPENDIX A

Minutes from the 20.09-2023

<h1>MINUTES</h1> <h2>OF ORDINARY BOARD MEETING IN THE STUDENT COUNCIL AT RUC</h2>	
Date	20 th of September 2023
Location	Conference Room, SH
Meeting participants	<p><i>List of people present in the meeting and their role in parentheses.</i></p> <p><i>BM - Board member / AR - Academic Council member (no voting rights) / AL - Alternate (voting rights if a BM is missing) / OS - Observer (no voting rights)</i></p> <p>Daniel Bjerregård (FS), Mads Bager (BM), Sofie Würtz (BM), Mille Ottesen (BM), Aleksander Fløystrup (BM), Vilte Maldžiūtė (BM), Julie Lindmann (UB), Gustav Gaardbo (BM), Amalie Jensen (BM), Ditte Kroman (UB), Jessi Arita (OS), Magnus Skovgaard (AL), Joana Luzio (AR), Sofie Munch (AL), Jakob Barksman (OS)</p>
#1: Formalities	<p><i>It is the proposed that the board appoints a conductor, a minute taker, approves the minutes from last time, approves the agenda for this board meeting.</i></p> <p>Conductor: Mads</p> <p>Minute taker: Sofie W</p> <p>Minutes from the last meeting: APPROVED</p> <p>Agenda: APPROVED</p>
#2:	<p>Orientations /16.25-16.40</p> <p>Ditte: an email was sent out today concerning SDU Slagelse. RUC has announced that they will not continue the plan with taking over SDU Slagelse. The deficit at SDU Slagelse will be different than expected, and RUC and SDU cannot come to terms with who should cover it. The negotiations have therefore been stopped.</p> <p>Mads: There will be two demonstrations. There's one with DSF on the 27th called Education Bingo where they demonstrate to the educational politicians how their reforms aren't sufficient. There's another demonstration with DSB who are doing an "Education for the future" on the 3rd of October (Folketingets opening day) to illustrate that the work by Folketinget isn't helping students.</p> <p>Bjerregård: There has been some changes at RUC that we need to inform students about. They have removed the automatic sign up for courses made administration, yet they still do it for the 1st and 2nd semester students.</p> <p>As a master student you now have to have 5 ECTS completed by the end of the first semester or you'll be kicked out of RUC.</p> <p>Ditte: the university board will meet next Tuesday for an ordinary meeting and meet again on the 2nd of October for an all-day board seminar.</p>

	<p>Mille: The Semesterstartparty went well and people seemed to have fun. We had some technical issues since the lights went out in the canteen at around 1 etc., but we made it work. The glaspjramid was a great alternative to a tent like it was done in the previous years.</p> <p>Mads: the VIP's want to start doing a pre-meeting with students of AR to make sure that the actual AR meetings aren't so much focused on orientations and explaining the agenda points. On the next meeting the key point of the "Student ambassador" will be on the agenda.</p>
#3:	<p>Approval of election coordinators & candidates /16.40-17.10 (Decision)</p> <p>First off we have to approve the main coordinators. The election committee has chosen to submit Mads and Sofie as main coordinators. The board has a unanimous vote of yes to Mads being a main coordinator. The board has a unanimous vote of yes to Sofie being the second main coordinator. Sofie and Mads have already talked to some people about being coordinators:</p> <p>Mille & Simon: volunteer coordinator Amalie & Jessi: SoMe coordinator Jannick: practical coordinator</p> <p>The following candidates are being put forward for a vote on running for the election: HUM candidate: Amir Bahloul NAT candidate: Alicie Berg Parole candidate: Lucas Olsen SAM candidate: Sofie Munch Humtek candidate: Andreas Høecke Nielsen International candidate: Undecided at the moment</p> <p>The board has decided on doing an anonymous vote on election candidates. The international candidate who has been asked cannot be announced and the board has raised uncertainty about not knowing who it is. Therefore, the board will call for an extraordinary board meeting in order to approve the international candidate. There has been a vote on whether to call for an extraordinary board meeting; it was a unanimous vote yes.</p> <p>The board votes individually anonymously on each candidate either trust or mistrust. Jakob Barksman is the counter of the votes.</p>
#4:	<p>Roskilde Festival remuneration /17.10-17.40 (Decision)</p> <p>The board makes a point about this being a big decision and that there should have been an explanation and motivation behind it which should have been given beforehand. Someone therefore proposes to move the decision for the next board meeting. Extraordinary circumstances require extraordinary transparency towards the board as the EC is to be held accountable by the board. Someone else made the comment about this being something the board was somewhat aware of it throughout the board meetings in the spring yet this was not</p>

	<p>transparent enough for it to be a decision today. Someone also made the point that a handover should be done so that the work they did isn't lost because it was done by the EC.</p> <p>Someone also made the comment about that as an EC you are supposed to step in when this sort of thing happens, which is expected. It is therefore somewhat expected that the EC steps in when someone isn't hired, which is an argument for them potentially not being paid. Someone suggest moving this decision to the extraordinary board meeting. Someone else says it should be moved to the next normal board meeting as it should be done in person. The board or the EC should also revise the contract of the coordinators to make sure it aligns with the actual job as the people of Roskilde Festival helped way more this year than the previous year. If there was no contract then there is no accountability; how can the board make sure the job is fulfilled. An EC member makes the outline that Bjerregård and Aleksander put this point on the agenda to be hired "late". Someone makes the point that the board needs a description of "before", "there" and "after" in their description. Bjerregård and Aleksander should let the board know in the description what part of the job they fulfilled and what they felt like wasn't part of their tasks. Someone makes the point that we need to separate the workload and whether or not they fulfilled the task successfully.</p> <p>Someone makes the point of the board should know whether there is a possibility that they won't get the full 20.000 DKK amount or if the board is voting yes or no to that exact amount. Another one raises the question of them being paid the full amount if they didn't do the job as the contract states. Someone suggests that the board is being sent three scenarios to choose between for the next meeting in order to not get uncomfortable at the board meeting. Some members of the board also feel uncomfortable with deciding on a certain amount of money as they are biased as they were volunteers at the festival. Someone mentions that the board needs to know what happens to the rest of the money: do they go back to RUC? That is an important detail.</p> <p>Members of the board feel uncomfortable making the decision today and members want to agree on what needs to be fulfilled before the decision can be made.</p> <p>The board has made a unanimous decision to push the decision for the next ordinary board meeting. Beforehand the board will be sent a motivation or description by the EC from Aleksander and Bjerregård, the economy as well as the contract of the coordinator.</p>
	<p>Break /17.40-18.00</p>
<p>#5:</p>	<p>Political conference PK /18.00-18.15</p> <p>DSF has invited us to PK in Aalborg on the 27th, 28th and 29th of October.</p> <p>The conference will entail voting the new EC in and a climate action plan. The EC has put this on the agenda in order to get more of the board members to join as it will be a lot of fun. Aleksander and Bjerregård has decided to go. Magnus shows interest and would potentially like to go. There is talk about leaving Copenhagen as a group early in the day. There is no maximum of members who can go. We have six mandates which means that six people can vote but we need at least two mandates to vote. It shows strength to go in numbers! It is also an opportunity to know more about PK as we will probably host PK in the spring. We need to know the participants by the 5th of October.</p>
<p>#6:</p>	<p>Brainstorm session SH open house & FANE /18.15-18.35 (Discussion)</p>

Mille introduces the point: we wish to do more for student volunteer work which could entail doing an SH open house day. This would possibly be on a Thursday at the same time as RUCBAR has Thursday bar. The idea is for every organisation to have a stand and be able to talk to students about their organisation; most new students were very overwhelmed during August and might want to hear more now. The Student House will help us but we will facilitate most of it. We'd also like to somehow introduce our candidates and they'd get to talk to students about the election. This point is basically to get the board's ideas for the day.

The board brainstorms on SH open house day:

- Do some food upstairs in order to lure people upstairs and talk to the organisations
- A challenge might be that students feel intimidated by "the upstairs" so we need to consider how to get people to go upstairs
- We could do a map that shows where the organisations have their stands within the Student House
- We should refrain from doing timeslots such as in Tour de Chambre as it can become stressful
- The organisations get to be in charge of what their stand entails such as a quiz etc.
- We could have someone stand outside or at the bottom of the stairs to welcome students and make it less intimidating
- If we do timeslots then there could be some sort of "buffer"
- Do activities that activate students such as board where they get to put post it on it where they get to develop their own ideas on how an organisation could develop etc.
- Maybe one of the stands could be someone who explains how to develop or create an organisation + studiemiljøpuljen
- RUC sport could be an example of an umbrella organisation; they have helped develop ex. RUC women football team
- We could ask study environment tutors to join the stand of "how to create an organisation/club" as they know their way around how to apply for the study environment money
- SR would be the lead on this event which means we facilitate the event and support the other organisations with their stands such as get tables and plan the setup
- A board or bowl of "suggested or lacking organisations" where students can put suggestions forward to what is missing in the student organisation environment
- We really need to clarify whether this event is to develop new organisations or promote the ones that are already here
- The idea for this day came about because SR saw confusion and lack of recruitment and promotion with both the tutoring organisation fair this year and the presentation format last year; the focus is to promote and recruit new members for organisations
- We could reach out to the organisations and suggest that they consider how they usually recruit new members successfully; we could also help them print photos from things they have done the past year to illustrate
- It's important to remember to keep the structure somewhat loose as the organisations are very different and they therefore will function on this day within different frameworks

FANE

Introduction: the EC has talked about combining FANE with some SEC aspects so that FANE is able to do more social oriented events with an academic focus still such as subject election social events etc.

Discussion

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ We would like to combine some of the social aspects of SEC with the academic focus of FANE ▪ A worry could be that some of the long-term thoughts made my FANE could get lost in the SEC ▪ This has been seen more as orientation point rather than a decision point ▪ We could do more workshop work where the two committees work together on making suggestions for events
#7:	<p>Calendar games /18.35-18.50 (Decision)</p> <p>The next board meeting will be on Wednesday the 18th of October 2023</p>
#8:	<p>A.O.B. /18.50-19.00 (Decision)</p> <p>Mads: for transparency reasons, I would like to let the board know that I have decided to run for chairperson next year</p> <p>Mille and Sofie; we would like to run for vicechair people</p> <p>Gaardbo: I have recently talked to some students who might decide to run for the election as well; they have centre-rightpolitical ideologies</p> <p>Amalie: we should do some sort of board fun time event thing:</p> <p>Sofie: we could possibly do a Christmas lunch right after our December board meeting.</p>
Next meeting	<p><i>Next meeting will be an board meeting held on the 18th of October at 16.15 in the student house</i></p>

<h1 style="margin: 0;">MINUTES</h1> <h2 style="margin: 0;">OF ORDINARY BOARD MEETING IN THE STUDENT COUNCIL AT RUC</h2>	
Date	02/10 - 2023
Location	Online - Teams
Meeting participants	<p><i>List of people present in the meeting and their role in parentheses.</i></p> <p><i>BM - Board member / AR - Academic Council member (no voting rights) / AL - Alternate (voting rights if a BM is missing) / OS - Observer (no voting rights)</i></p> <p>Jannick (BM), Daniel (BM), Mads (BM), Sofie (BM), Aleksander (BM), Amalie (BM), Magnus (AL)</p> <p>- Magnus has voting rights, because of missing board members</p>
#1: Formalities	<p><i>It is the proposed that the board appoints a conductor, a minute taker, approves the minutes from last time, approves the agenda for this board meeting.</i></p> <p>Conductor: Jannick</p> <p>Minute taker: Jannick</p> <p>Agenda: APPROVED</p> <p>Approval of election system: APPROVED</p>
#2: Approval of international candidate	<p>The election committee have nominated Gloria Mazzetta as the international candidate.</p> <p>The board was asked to vote trust/mistrust or blank on Gloria Mazzetta as the international candidate.</p> <p>Due to less than half of the board was present decisions could only be made with 2/3 majority.</p> <p>Gloria Mazzetta was given trust by the board with more than 2/3 majority.</p>
#3: A.O.B.	Nothing
Next meeting	<i>Next meeting will be held on 18th of October at 16.15 in the student house</i>

APPENDIX B

We have been contacted by the owners of buildings 16-24 and would like to know what the students want these buildings to be e.g. a cinema, a Netto, 7/11 or something else. It is important to note, that these buildings can no longer be used for "RUC education purposes".

APPENDIX C

We have an upcoming masters reform and we want to discuss the impacts we as students see, and what we as the student council should do. On top of that both the rectorate and DSF have asked us for what our concerns are, and while the EC are able to speak on their personal concern, we want to hear the boards opinion as well.

APPENDIX D

At the springseminar in 2023, we had a presentation about good work environment in SR - in addition to that, we talked about a common language about SR - what is SR? how do we present it? This workshop is about getting a united language about what SR is, and how we present it to the students. Also due to the election coming up, it might be nice to have something to share with the candidates and the volunteers to presents to the students (and for new board members in the future).