



MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING IN THE STUDENT COUNCIL AT ROSKILDE UNIVERSITY

Wednesday the 11th of May 2022 at 16:15 - 19:00 in the Project Room

Present

Emilia (B), Amir (B), Victoria (B), Lauge (B), Alexandru (AR), Jannick (B), Calvin (UB), Julie (AR), Maria (UB), Daniel (B), Magnus (B), Olivia (B).

Conductor

Maria

Minute taker

Jannick

1. Formalities

Election of conductor: Maria is elected

Election of minute taker: Jannick is elected

Approval of agenda for this board meeting: Changes to the agenda. Point 8 removed.

Point 5 will be an evaluation of the spring seminar instead.

Approval of minutes from last board meeting: Approved.

2. Orientations

Daniel: Been asked by the accrediting's institution about HumTek. Very focused in research based education.

Lauge: Negotiation with unions and a-kasser about their contribution to tutoring.

Akkreditering is going on at RUC. 3 years ago RUC got a conditional positive. That's why they are here, to check if RUC has improved on the conditions that wasn't up to the standard they wanted. SR should not be involved in preparing the students for the akkreditering. This is problematic, that the students are not involved.

Roskilde Festival is difficult to work with. They have not yet provided our contract and has changed the number of volunteers we had to provide.

Meeting with RUC elections office. We didn't want RUCs election to be at the same time with PK. Had a good talk about how RUC is handling election. They have not been keen on cooperating, but seems like they now understand why, they have to collaborate with us.



Got an inquiry about how combine subject modules from a VIP. Potential disagreement about the process. Ellen and Lauge is going to meet with Niels Møller about that.

Maria: UB representatives have a seminar. 1 hour where they can talk about students' point of view. Nina smith from reform commission is coming.

Student ambassador agenda is still going forward. Will have it as a point on the next AR meeting.

Jannick: SEC and semester start working group is trying to find a date for a meeting.

Daniel: DSF is trying to improve the SPS system. Anybody knows someone that got a problem with SPS, they would really like to hear about a case where they have failed.

Calvin: good banana peel. Had a meeting in blank... where they 5 ERURA members are present. Voted whether a university in Poland can join the collaboration. 22 was in favor, 2 didn't vote and 0 against. So, they have been admitted to the alliance. Discussion of a motion of 6 months internships in universities.

3. In-supplementation in the board

Lauge: NAT have not found a representative (because they don't exist). So we propose that we have been unable to fill this spot. Then the spot will automatically fall to the 1st alternate, which is Mads. Thereby he will become Board member if we decide to do this.

Maria: Good decision. There is work in NAT, that might turn into a subject council. Just so we are aware of it to the next GA.

Calvin: Are we allowed to do that?

Daniel: Yes because they have to point out one week before GA.

Calvin: Is there a end date to the GA decision, that the board could find someone for the seat?

Lauge: The GA gave the board permission to appoint someone, if they could find a NAT or whoever is appropriate.



Amir: Hum subject council board was also about to die, the student environment tutors took upon the role to keep it alive. Propose talking to the head of INM and making it students environments tutors' job to see if they can make it a subject council.

Maria: It seems we agree. Therefore, we should vote whether Mads should be suppleret into the board

1 blank. Rest in favor.

4. By-election for the Executive Committee

Lauge: We have a vacant spot in the EC. Because Rebekka pulled out of the EC. Anyone interested in running for this spot?

Olivia: I

Maria: Olivia is the only one that have expressed interest in the EC.

Olivia selling herself and questions to her

Maria: We need to find a voting committee: propose Julie and Alex, since they are observers. We vote of confidence.

Board accepted that.

Julie: Oliva got in!

Congratulations to Olivia.

5. Feedback on Spring Seminar

1st group: discussion about reform committee was very giving. Widening one's point of view through discussion.

Workshop was good, but we needed to have it earlier in the process, because it created a room that made us closer.

More collaboration games – so we get to know each other better. Games during the day not involving drinks.

Felt a lack of understanding of SRs opinion on the future. Basic and principle of SR could be discussed – would be beneficial.



2nd group:

Practical: no wifi BAD! – difficult to get there. Sleeping rooms too close to common areas. Missing a lounge area. Cake and bailey surprise was nice. Lacked manpower during cleaning, maybe have cleaning schedule beforehand. Food good.

Social: music quiz was amazing – good it wasn't about drinking, good transition into hygge and party after. Beer and seek didn't do the same, different activity beforehand where you didn't have to drink would be nice.

Nondrinking games are preferred.

English policy wasn't good enough.

Content and agenda: Ingerfair workshop were very relevant. Inge didn't facilitate enough. Workshop should have been earlier in the day.

Annual cycle wasn't treated and that's a shame – it's an important tool.

The initial proposed agenda was too vague. Board seminar is a golden opportunity to develop and have bigger political discussion and planning campaigning.

Sunday agenda almost always get cancelled. Easy things is put on Sundays, which means easier to cancel. Maybe just delete program on Sunday

SR-KU student ambassador presentation was very good. It was very good to have an external party, that can help us. Specifically, it is nice to have other universities, because they bring their local knowledge that we can take further in our organization. Strategically could be a good opportunity to engage with them, so we have more allies at PK and other stuff.

3rd group: (wasn't present at the seminar, so they talked about motivation)

We talked about what motivates us and what we could do to make SR more visible. But nothing in specific.



6. Treating the Annual Report 2021

Lauge: The annual report was late. We need our own critical revision, elected at the GA. We cannot treat it before the critical revision have not had the time to look at it and get their notes on it. Because it the report was late from Redmark, the critical revision had not had the time to go through it. It was supposed to be approved before the beginning of May. But since we can't approve it we will go through it today, and have an extra ordinary board meeting online were we will meet to pass the annual report.

Daniel: We violated the VAT law. We violated the withholding tax law.

Daniel continues to thoroughly go through the report, the numbers and explaining what every amount of money have been used for in 2021.

Underneath is the questions that people had when going through the report:

Alex: is there a fine for the withholding tax law violation -> Daniel: I don't know

Calvin: how did we pay an extra 6.000? Lauge: possible an overlap between the student employees. Maria: we also raised the BW salary.

Amir: How much will we have to pay back to RUC – Maria: it will be showed in the specification

Alex: what did we apply for? – Maria: we lost a lot of money due to covid (RF and tutoring events where we generate money). So we applied for “pulje” that covered some of our loss.

Julie: where is BW honorary -> Daniel: Its inside the BW post.

Julie: what is ruskursus – Maria: all the other seminars than russemniar.

Calvin: how did EC spend 200tkr in 2020? Maria: the EC honorary was in there, for visibility we have made it a category for itself.

Maria: Events and miscellaneous is combined in events. That's why the number is that high.

Julie: How was catering minus in 2020? – Jannick: probably got refunded something

Calvin: we budgeted 40.000 for VAT but we didn't pay? – Maria: It was set so high because we had to pay VAT from 17 and 18. It's not in there because we didn't pay it there. Auditors says it won't be that high. Prob. 23.000 in VAT that we owe.



Julie: what is the negative 393.998 in 2020? Maria: When they did the annual report, they put the honorary in other post

Amir: what is projeteter? Daniel: projekter

7. Expectations for the June 2022 Board Meeting

Lauge: Next board meeting one month from today. 11th of June. Final board meeting before summer break. Since we have more time for this meeting, wanted to ask if there is something you wish to gain from this board meeting or if there is something you want to do. There might be room for a party afterwards.

Maria: think we should treat the annual cycle. Small workshop for fall semester – talks about possible campaigns.

Daniel: Think we should make campaign – nothing political can scare SR!

Amir: Mascot workshop mayyyyyybe?

Jannick: semesterstartparty and grill event

Alex: Sometime in the agenda where we can talk about some stuff that can come from now on and till that day – also sometime where we can talk about if there is something we want on the agenda?

Calvin: Maybe look at June board meeting in 2019? For inspiration – Maybe some student handbook stuff

Maria: If we want to talk about honorary – this should probably be the time.

8. A.O.B.

Rude: Kitchen in SH is closed because people don't clean up after themselves. If u want a key for the kitchen, we can have it, if we can show we can clean up after ourselves

Emilia: If u want to go to folkemødet with SR please make a comment on the Facebook post or send me a private message.

Julie: next Wednesday rucgaming is having their 2nd event ever. How to be a game master and a single event D&D session. Both in English and in Danish.