

Agenda from the general assembly th 5th of December 2020

Welcome, please use your full name, thank you for joining us in this virtuel room. We will hopefully have a day filled with good talks but bear in mind that this was made electronic within 24 hours so please be patience.

If you experience any problems, please send a text
Make the chat your name for the sake of the conductors and minute takers

Formalities:

Conductors:
Katrine Damberg and Erik Lørup

Thank you for the election, please those of you who have not written their full name please do that.

Minutetaker: Julie Lindmann
Responsible for the voting: Mathilde and Calvin

Agenda:

Katrine Damberg: Amendment to delete break before lunchbreak: Break deleted

Katrine Damberg asking for permission to be a bit loose with time since it's an online meeting

Agenda is approved

The Propose rules of procedure is being read out loud by Katrine Damberg
The send out and call for the General assembly was done on time
The rules of procedure have also been upheld
We need to vote on whether we will make a vote on the statue on whether we want to give a dispensation since there hasn't been a written account of the year

VOTING:

Everyone is for

The reading aloud of the proposed procedure continues
Procedure from Maria Kørpe:
It says the 6th of December however it should be December the 5th since that is the day of the meeting

The reading aloud of the procedure by Katrine Damberg Continues

One amendment for the rules of procedure to make the 6th to the 5th
Amendment approved.
The rules of procedure are accepted, and the formalities are done.

Presentation and discussion of the Boards account (11:20-12:02)

The current chairpersonship will do the presentation and Erik will conduct

Mathilde is starting the presentation by saying what happened this year. We started very ambitious with a plan for our political agenda and the social life on campus. We were seeing a strong board. But then corona came.

Calvin: It's been a weird year and in many ways it has affected our organization and how we work together when we are apart. There has been many great plans that got shut down therefore there was a lot of time spend on something that did not end up happening. It's been a strange year.

The administration has been very dependent on us which is the silver lining of this

SR and the pandemic:

Work in AR and UB was compromised a bit

Well-being has dropped immensely for students, also for RUC. There have also been very specific issues due to the PPL structure and our geographic location. However, it worked out in the end. We were the first university who got a student in the beredskabsgruppe

The other main headline has been master reform:

A proves that started out well. It started out looking like we would have a lot of sayings in this, however this was not the case. Because in reality it seems to have started much earlier.

A lot of meetings with the Master reform on the agenda

A bit of activism and opposition to the (lack of) process from us in collaboration with VIP.

Master reform:

A break with the combi structure. There has been a panic at HUM about merges. There is 30 educations. Not many "C" educations. Many of the niche proposals rejected by UL. The gender and equality education was rejected. However, this means a lot more local autonomy.

Moving on to other unipol:

There has been none. Because of Covid-19 and the agendas we wanted to work for had an incredibly small ground to work from

Tutoring:

Ended up being quite successful. We had the most in-person study start in Denmark.

Everybody came together and upheld restrictions. There was no major incidents and no known corona transmission. There has also been a fantastic cooperation with the administration. They had a lot of trust in us and listened to us. They even said we didn't have to tell them everything because they trusted us. There was a small decline in overall satisfaction which makes sense. Also, a small decline in feeling peer pressured, which probably comes from not being able to party

While there was tutoring. Mads Eriksen chose to write a Debatindlæg bashing RUC in Politiken. The RUC bashing reaching new heights in the media. There was being used old, wrong and misinterpreted data getting a lot of new attention. Unfortunately, it really affected the mood of the new students. However, the administration really noticed us and appreciated us

Organizational accomplishments:

Hired a new employee, we made our handbook an app. Strengthen our green initiative and we will keep on developing it. We got a new nice purple office. Morten has moved out and moved into his new home. Stronger digital know-hows after all the stuff we had to do online. We also cleaned the entire container

Election results:

5 seats in AR and 2 in UB

All subject areas are represented in AR again!

A big win for internationals. It is the 3rd time an international is elected to AR and the 1st time an international is elected to UB

Unfortunately, we didn't get our 6th candidate elected. However, Simone is still working with the administration and they are listening to him and he is working with them on the remaking of the outside area on RUC

Samrådet took over RUC. Lauge won the first mandate in the university board. Liseforbund made an old analysis of the election results - complete underestimating the strength of SAM-Rådet today. In the year to come we will make a new analysis and make changes. Luckily for Mathilde Lauge will just be in AR so now Calvin and Mathilde are in UB

Maria Kørpke: There were issues with the election system again. We lost two days of the election. The decisions were made quickly and not together with any of the organizations running. There were also problems in the ballot systems. Bach. Study boards at HUM and SAM. Institute council

There has been a peace election with frit forum therefore there will not be an election.

There was no information given to frit forum - leaving us with the task which is not okay.

There has been an "Rokade" so it's not the same administration who is doing election next year

Voting percentage about 25%

Thousands of students reached through social media

Our economy:

We lost a lot of our income because of cancellation of events. The biggest one being Roskilde festival. Also, all incomes from russeminar and SEC. However, it doesn't look so bad because a lot of good people applied for fond. We got a corona grant from DUF. We have managed with the budget we approved so we are ending the year with a surplus despite losing so much income. We spend a lot of money on tutoring and the app. Other than that, Zoom meeting is a lot cheaper than meeting up physically. Nothing has gone over budget

Special shout out to things we planned for but didn't happen: SEC, The Well-being policy paper, PK at RUC (We fought for PK at fall since we had a lot of people who wanted to host it however, unfortunately it was done online), Pride, RF20

Comment from Erik Lørup: When I saw it, I was very impressed by the things that you achieved. It's not something I remember that SR has received. You have been in newspapers you have been on TV. And you have a great work with the administration. My point was there is a lot to be proud of

Lea: The chairpersonship this year has really worked with the rectorate and it's nice to see that we are in the core of RUC and that is very powerful.

Calvin: This year was especially weird because we had all these great plans and then it was shut down. We wouldn't have made it through this year if we haven't been such great friends in the board. The fantastic board we had made it able for us to get through this so successfully

Maria: We are also started the dialog with RUC about the whole krænkelser and sexual harassment. And we got a person in the ligestilling committee

Mathilde: Can I supplere. We have been promised a seat in the sexual harrasment comitee where there has never been a student before since they have been against having a student in there since there is also HR things going on.

Everyone supports the boards account:

Treating proposed amendments (12:03-13:22)

Micky will be responsible of the PowerPoint.

Procedure from Mathilde: The passages will be in Danish just so everybody is aware. It will be a mixture of Danish and English

ÆF 1

Amendment: Ændring af §3:

Artikel 3: Medlemskab Stk. 1 Alle studerende på

Roskilde Universitet har deltaget – og taleret til alle møder i Studenterrådets bestyrelse og udvalg. Stk. 2 Alle studerende har stemme og taleret til Studenterrådets generalforsamling.

Micky motivates for both the ÆF and the ÆF to ÆF:

Erik Lørup: All the ÆF has been made in time, however it is still possible to make ÆF to ÆF. Erik also goes through some procedure for the voting

Question from Maria: Are we already going into the voting first? Or how do we do it

Katrine Damberg: Now is just a debate and after we will vote

Micky: Now I just motivated for the ÆF to the ÆF. I do not believe the new formulation is better. We do not work with membership rights at RUC. So therefore, it will be more symbolic. We have had this discussion before because people are saying that we can't just assume that they are a member. Therefore, I like the original one better

Lauge: I actually agree with Micky's reasoning now. I have a question about ÆF to ÆF. Its regering "All the studerende at RUC har talerrettigheder til alle møder i Studenterrådet." And it doesn't say that in the ÆF to ÆF

Mathilde: That was actually what I was going to say. The reason why the lovudvalg has chosen to do this already is because it is already in the statutes. So, all those sayings is in the statutes already therefore I think this paragraph is a bit redundant. We in general talk about those general memberships. If I am going to caterer to Micky's comment I will say we make a new ÆF to ÆF - Alle studerende har medlemsrettigheder ved RUC

Katrine Damberg: Maybe I can say why its redundant it's because you can read it in paragraph 9

Mathildes new ÆF to ÆF: Stk. 1 Alle studerende på Roskilde Universitet har medlemsrettigheder i Studenterrådet

Maria: All these clarifications is somewhere else. However, adding this here as well will make it stronger in order for the students to understand our statues. It becomes where internal to what this means. Therefore, I have an ÆF to ÆF 3:

Katrine: Are you speaking against this proposal

Mathilde: I will motivate against it. I understand your point. However, I think it's just outsiders not understanding how to read statues. Statues are very confusing either way. By not repeating things make it cleaner and easier for us to navigate in. We can keep our statues neat and short and not repetitive.

Maria ÆF 3: WITHDRAWN

Micky has a procedure: The two ÆFs are sideordnet. First, we decide which one we like the most and then that will be the changed to the original.

Katrine: Now you can vote for ÆF1 or ÆF2:

Mathilde opklarer på Dansk hvordan proceduren kommer til at foregå

ÆF 1 til ÆF 1: No one voted for

ÆF 2 til ÆF 1: Everyone is for

ÆF 1 til ÆF 1 falls

VOTE on ÆF 2 to ÆF 1: Passed

VOTE on amended ÆF 1: Passed

There are some problems with voting since we are missing a few votes in the chat.

Calvin: My question is once we reach the number for something to pass should we just let it pass? Do we need for everyone to vote before we decide?

Erik: I think it's important since we for example counted differently

Mathilde: I received a message from Ellen saying she is unable to vote right now

Micky: I say that after a time of silence we should just count people as voting blank

Sif: There was a voting before.

Katrine: There is no doubt that this amendment was passed therefore let's try to be more strict.

ÆF 2 (Stiller MICKY):

Ændring af §4 stk. 3:

Stk. 3

Medlemsorganisationer som opfylder kriterierne for et fagråd jf. §13 stk. 9, der skriftligt til Studenterrådets bestyrelse ytrer ønske herom, kan på ethvert givent tidspunkt optages som medlemsorganisation.

ÆF TIL ÆF 2:

Ændring af §4 stk. 3:

Stk. 3

Organisationer som opfylder kriterierne for et fagråd jf. §13 stk. 9, der skriftligt til Studenterrådets bestyrelse ytrer ønske herom, kan på ethvert givent tidspunkt optages som medlemsorganisation.

Motivation Micky: As it is now its kind of a road bump benspænd for new subject councils to become a part of the studenterråd. So now we change it, so they are allowed to be a part of the student council so it open ups the organizations a bit more

Sif: It's just the comment that Micky just made? Is it changing the holdning? I would say this is a grammatical change that doesn't need voting

Katrine: I will say we should vote since we can.

ÆF to ÆF 2: PASSED

Amended ÆF2: PASSED

ÆF3:

Ændring af §7 stk. 1:

2. Bestyrelsens beretning

a. Årsregnskab

b. Formandskabets beretning

ÆF TO ÆF 3:

Ændring af ÆF 3:

2. Bestyrelsens beretning

a. Studenter-faglig redegørelse

b. Studenter-politisk redegørelse

c. Studenter-social redegørelse

d. Økonomisk redegørelse

e. Organisatorisk redegørelse

Motivation for ÆF to ÆF 3 Mathilde: I think I will just vote for the whole shabang. I think it's important to clearly state what should be in it. We are changing it so it doesn't say årsregnskab since that would mean we should approve that which is not how our organization works. This is just to make it more understandable.

Procudure Micky: I'm just going to add it and assume it's a semantic thing

ÆF to ÆF3: PASSED
Amended ÆF 3: PASSED

ÆF 4:
Ændring af §7 stk. 1:
”[...]3. Indkomne ændringsforslag
til:
 a. Vedtægter
 b. Uddannelsespolitiske
 principper
4. Behandling af arbejdsplan.
5. Behandling af ansøgninger
om kollektivt medlemskab.”

ÆF TO ÆF 4:
Ændring af ÆF4:
”[...]3. Indkomne ændringsforslag
til:
 a. Vedtægter
 b. Uddannelsespolitiske
 principper
4. Behandling af arbejdsplan.”

Motivation Micky: The original one was just to remove it. So, the ÆF to ÆF is actually just to make it what it was supposed to be

ÆF to ÆF 4: PASSED

Erik: Når vi kigger på ændringsforslag 1, så ændrede vi hele paragraffen til noget andet. Og når man ser på det her så tilføjer vi så derfor er der forskel i hvordan proceduren forløber.

Katrine Damberg: Good point, do you think we should do the voting again?

Erik: No, I think the voting was clear we just needed to be on the same page

Amended ÆF 4: PASSED

ÆF 5
Ændring af §9 stk. 1:
Stk. 1 Alle studerende på RUC kan
deltage på generalforsamlingen med
fuld stemme - og taleret. Gæster kan
af generalforsamlingen tildeles
taleret.

Motivation Micky: It's just a consequence fix so just vote for.
ÆF 5: PASSED

ÆF 6:

Ændring af §11 stk. 3:

Stk. 3 Ved valg, hvor netop én post skal besættes, må der på hver stemmeseddel højst støttes én kandidat. Valg forløber således: 1. Er kun én kandidat opstillet udskrives tillidsafstemning. I så fald er kandidaten valgt, hvis mere end 50 % af de indleverede stemmesedler viser tillid. 2. Er der flere end to kandidater, og opnår ingen støtte fra over halvdelen af de indleverede stemmesedler, går de to kandidater med flest støtter videre til en anden runde. En kandidat er valgt, når denne i en runde har modtaget støtte fra mere end halvdelen af de indleverede stemmesedler. Opnår ingen i første eller en evt. anden runde støtte fra mere end halvdelen af de indleverede stemmesedler træder stk. 5 i kraft.

ÆF TO ÆF 6:

Ændring af §11 stk. 3:

Stk. 3 Ved valg, hvor netop én post skal besættes, må der på hver stemmeseddel højst støttes én kandidat. Valg forløber således: 1. Er kun én kandidat opstillet udskrives tillidsafstemning. I så fald er kandidaten valgt, hvis mere end 50 % af de indleverede stemmesedler viser tillid. 2. Er der flere end to kandidater, og opnår ingen støtte fra over halvdelen af de indleverede stemmesedler, går de to kandidater med flest støtter videre til en anden runde. En kandidat er valgt, når denne i en runde har modtaget støtte fra mere end halvdelen af de indleverede stemmesedler. Opnår ingen i første eller en evt. anden runde støtte fra mere end halvdelen af de indleverede stemmesedler vælges den med flest stemmer i anden runde.

Katrine Damberg is reading the change aloud

Motivation Micky: Den originale som I kan finde i jeres udsending. Der ændres 2 ting i den nye ÆF. Den ene ting der ændres, er at der altid skal være en. Den anden er hvis der er to eller flere må man stemme på x antal mennesker hvor man går rundt i runder indtil man finder en. I den originale er der ikke nogen der bliver valgt. I den første der går man ned og trækker lod. I den nye formulering gør at hvis man i to runder ikke har fundet en som har fået mere end halvdelen af stemmerne, så trækker man lod. Det eneste øv er hvis man kun er en kandidat så kan man kun blive valgt hvis halvdelen har tillid. Jeg tror ikke det her bliver relevant men derfor ville jeg stadig råde for at man stemmer for hele vejen igennem

ÆF TO ÆF 6: PASSED

Amended ÆF 6: PASSED

ÆF 7:

Ændring af §13 stk. 1 og §18 stk. 1:

§13 stk. 1 "5. Koordinator
for Universitetspolitisk Udvalg"

§18 Stk. 1 "Én af de fire øvrige pladser reserveres til koordinatoren for Universitetspolitisk Udvalg, én af de fire øvrige pladser reserveres til et medlem af Universitetsbestyrelsen og de resterende pladser vælges af bestyrelsen.

Motivation Micky: It's just what we called it we do call it coordinator. I think it's time for the organization to realize this is not a chairperson it's a coordinator. So, it's just a name change nothing else

Sif: I would just like to motivate Micky it fits with our practices

Mathilde: Exactly what Sif said it's a very important change. With this change we are changing it everywhere except one place.

Vote on ÆF7: PASSED

ÆF8:

Ændring af §13 stk. 7:

Slet §13 stk. 10:

Stk. 7

Repræsentanter fra fagråd godkendes

af generalforsamlingen gennem tillidsvalg. Såfremt flere fagråd fra samme hovedområde indstiller kandidater til bestyrelsesposten, vælges denne af generalforsamlingen. Skulle et hovedområde ikke være repræsenteret af fagrådene, suppleres der med ét menigt medlem valgt på Studenterrådets generalforsamling. Udpegningen skal være Studenterrådets forpersonskab i hænde senest kl. 12:00 dagen før generalforsamlingens start, ellers bortfalder retten ved den aktuelle generalforsamling.

ÆF1 to ÆF8:

Ændring af ÆF8:

Stk. 7

Repræsentanter fra hovedområderne udpeges af fagrådene. Såfremt flere fagråd...

ÆF2 to ÆF8

Ændring af ÆF8:

Stk. 7

... Udpegningen skal være Studenterrådets forpersonskab i hænde senest kl. 12:00 dagen før generalforsamlingens start, medmindre andet er aftale med den siddende bestyrelse.

Motivation Mathilde: The two are not sidestillet. In ÆF 8 its says repræsentanter godkendes. But with this change the phrase has just been moved somewhere. The lovudvalg pointed out that we could also be able to decline fagrådrepræsentanter which would not make sense. SO the change is just a clarification. The other one is one we discussed in the board. Sometimes they don't have their general assembly before we do so therefore we should give them their autonomy. So therefore we are adding "medmindre andet er aftale med den siddende bestyrelse"

Procedure Sif: I am just confused as how we are going to vote.

Katrine Damberg: We will first vote on ÆF 1 and then ÆD 2 to ÆF8 and then we will vote all together

Mathilde: I don't think we need to have the second round you described

ÆF 1 to ÆF 8: PASSED

ÆF 2 to ÆF 8: PASSED

Amended ÆF 8: PASSED

ÆF 9:

Ændring af §19 stk. 1:

§19 Stk. 1 "Forpersonskabet består

af Studenterrådets forperson, en

organisatorisk næstforperson og en økonomiansvarlig næstforperson."

Katrine Damberg: Its just a clarification I don't know if anyone needs to motivate:

ÆF 9: PASSED

ÆF 10:

Under Artikel 8 tilføjes en ny §23 og følgende §-numre konsekvensrettes.

§23 Økonomiske forretningsgange.

Stk 1: Studenterrådet bestyrelse fastsætter på det konstituerende

bestyrelsesmøde organisationens økonomiske forretningsgange.

Motivation Maria: We have been encouraged to have clear procedure to have we do økonomiske forretningsgange and therefore we need to have clear guidelines there for this amendment

ÆF 10: PASSED

ÆF 11:

Ændring af §24 stk 3:

"Stk. 3 Studenterrådets bestyrelse

behandler og godkender det

reviderede regnskab med

kommentarer fra kritisk revision så snart det foreligger, dog senest i 2. kvartal."

Micky: we made this one because we talked about it in the board and as the lovudvalg said that is what behandler means therefore we want to withdraw this.

ÆF11: WITHDRAWN

ÆF12:

Ændringsbeskyttelse af §29 - ændring af §30 stk. 2:

Stk. 2 Vedtagelse af ændring af § 28, § 29 og/eller § 30 kræver dog 4/5 flertal blandt de

fremmødte, på to på hinanden følgende

generalforsamlinger

Motivation Micky: I think it is a mistake made at one point. It would be very unwise for us because then we could close down the studenterråd and then decide what we should do with the money. The makes us more "Coopable"

ÆF12: PASSED

ÆF 13:

Tilføjelse af ny §32 i Artikel 11. Følgende §-numre konsekvensrettes

§ 32 – Offentliggørelse

Stk. 1 Vedtægterne offentliggøres indenfor 2 uger efter generalforsamlingen på Studenterrådets hjemmeside

Stk. 2 Vedtægterne forsynes med en engelsk oversættelse ved offentliggørelse.

Mathilde: Just a quick clarification making an English one is just to make this process more transparent. However, the official document is still the Danish document.

ÆF13: PASSED

ÆF14:

Rettelse af §32:

§ 32 – Ikrafttrædelse

Stk. 1

Vedtægterne træder i kraft umiddelbart efter vedtagelse på generalforsamlingen.

ÆF14: PASSED

ÆF: 15

Forslag om at give Studenterrådets bestyrelse beføjelse til at lave en generel grammatisk gennemgang af dokumentet. Dette må ikke indbefatte indholdsmæssige ændringer eller grammatiske præciseringer.

Dette inkluderer som minimum følgende rettelser:

§11 stk. 2 fjern "j"

§11 stk. 3 pkt 2 "indlev e-rede" rettelse

§14 stk. 2 fjern "k"

§24 stk. 5 fjern "k"

§27 stk. 2+3 adskil stykkerne

§27 stk. 5 fjern "j"

§30 stk. 1 fjern "k"

Grammatical changes

ÆF 15 PASSED

Final vote:

Procedure Micky: I do not think we need to vote for the entire thing. It's a workplan thing

Katrine: Thank you Micky so now we have new statues. The other parts there was no amendments so now we will go to the other point

Erik: There has been no further changes. We will meet back at 14:30

Break

Presenting workplan and Education Political principles (14:32:16:00)

Workshop for writing amendments to the workplans:

Sif: I have been promised that Mathilde will share our PowerPoint so I will get Mathilde to do that.

In the send out you have been able to find a workplan. Sif talks about the principles on which it has been build. And the builds of our organization. Of course, corona has affected our workplan and we know that we need to be flexible because we don't know how corona will affect it.

We will continue to be a critical university even though the rest forces us not to be. And we still need to represent our students. All the national discussions is also things that affects RUC students. We want to continue to work for a good frame for physical education and study environment. Climate fight. We have added two new points which is structural involvement. We want to continue the agenda about empowering the student democracy. We have also added a university with room for everyone.

Daniel: The organization principles. More focus on being on Facebook and be strongly present on social media. Big focus on making it nice be a volunteer in Studenterrådet We need to have a healthy and stable economy.

Maria: We want to take control back in relation to the unions. Social priorities, tutoring is a big part of what we do therefore we need to continue to be a part of that. We will continue this work with the newly hired chairmanship of tutoring.

Sif: The local study environment is the backbone of student life at RUC. It goes for the basic houses but also just the different subjects at RUC.

Daniel: We rebranded RUC'ers by choice so besides the big event we want to make more small events so are more visible for the students at RUC

*Everybody is send out in break-out rooms to discuss the workplan and make amendments)

Break (16:02-16:13)

Processing amendments to the workplan (16:20-17:15)

AM1:

Original:

Even though, the biggest study progress reforms and incentive management was stopped and have been phased out since the beginning of 2020, we as students are still forced through our education to be available for the job market as quickly as possible.

Motivation Micky: In the first one it says it has been stopped. That is partially true however there is still a lot left. Therefore, I have rephrased it a bit so there is still some process left

Proposed change:

Even though most progress-reforms have been removed locally at RUC, there is still a lot of incentive management integrated in the financing of universities to force students through educations and into the jobmarket as quickly as possible. We should fight to remove all incentive-based financing to ensure universities freedom in structuring educations as they see fit locally

AM1: PASSED

There is a repetition on how to vote because new people have joined.

AM2:

Proposed change:

The Student Council should stay updated about the agenda for the municipality elections in 2021 and participate actively in the agenda setting regarding local plans specifically in the area surrounding RUC.

Motivation Mathilde: There is a kommunevalg coming up and a lot of things are happening with the local plan. So therefore, we don't necessarily interfere with the municipality politics normally it would be nice to have focus on that.

AM2: PASSED

AM3:

Original: " The last year's work with the master's reform has shown us that it is incredibly important to have a strong collaboration with VIP and TAP."

Proposed change: " The last year's work with the master's reform has shown us that it is incredibly

important to have a strong collaboration with the scientific personel (VIP) and the technical-administrative personel (TAP)."

Motivation Ditte: Write it out so it is transparent. We do the same with DSF

AM3: PASSED

AM4:

Proposed change: That RUC has now increased focus on the sexism debate is positive, however only makes for a part of a broader anti-discrimination debate, that we will continue to fight for at RUC.

Motivation victoria: Anti-discrimination is, and should be, so much more than sexism.

AM4: PASSED

AM5

Previous boards have started work with improving the well-being of students. In lieu of the pandemic and the declining well being amongst students this agenda is increasingly more important for the students, and we will therefore continue this work with a renewed focus.

Motivation Sif: We thought it was important that the workplan also have focus on well-being. Where we also focus on the none majority people.

AM5: PASSED

AM6:

... international focus, in order to demonstrate to RUC the value of including international voices.

Motivation Calvin: It's nice that the student council will continue to lead by example. This is just to clarify why its important

AM6: PASSED

AM7:

Original: [...]Student Council's work

Proposed change: [...]Student Council's work. We will work to help students get information about their studies as well as the academic and social environment.

Motivation Micky: The whole section very much focuses on recruiting, but not really adding any value to students. Adding this is just to give students value.

AM7: PASSED

AM8:

Motivation Marc: We Just want to change the word hygge so its more about recruitment

Motivation against, Maria: This work plan is working for our volunteers and the volunteer hygge is worth keeping

Calvin: I think it's a good amendment and I agree with marc. It does talk significantly about recruitment. I don't think we need to define hygge and work environment separately

AM8: Passed

AM9:

Proposed change:

Furthermore, we will continue to work for better conditions for student driven organizations on RUC.

Motivation Micky: I think it would be nice to add something about us fighting for a better environment for them and better conditions for other organizations

AM9: PASSED

AM10:

Proposed change:

As a way to secure organizational continuity between different Organizational Vice Chairs, we believe that it should be a priority for the Organizational Vice Chair to dye his or her hair purple.

Motivation Marc: We need to have some continuity so therefore the next organizational chair needs to color his or her hair purple:

AM10: PASSED

AM11:

Move: “Skill Development Effort” from “Academic Priorities” to “Organizational Priorities”

Motivation Marc: Skill development under academic puts a layer over what kind of things we can do since its more about the student body

AM11: PASSED

AM12:

Proposed change: We aim to improve the branding and promotion of FANE and academic courses by making it more accessible and visible to students.

Motivation Maria: Sorry I forgot to add where it was its just in the end of the FANE section. We aim to rebrand and promote fane

AM12: PASSED

AM13:

Original: We aim to improve the branding and promotion of FANE and academic courses by making it more accessible and visible to students.

Proposed change: ..students of RUC, both physically and digitally. The students should be able to voice their ideas and wishes for courses.

Motivation Tim: We believe that it’s important to give the students at RUC the possibility to let their voices be heard. They should be able to wish for ideas and courses

AM13: PASSED

AM14:

Original: ...Furthermore, we see skill development as a great opportunity to pay our volunteers back for their hard work.

Proposed change: The Board and active volunteers can throughout the year make proposals for skill development workshops and seminars.

ÆF to ÆF 14: The Board and volunteers of The Student Council can throughout the year make proposals for skill development workshops and seminars.

Motivation Tim: We find important that the volunteer can voice their opinion

Micky: I want to support it

Mathilde: I don’t per say dislike it, but I think its redundant because everything we plan can be suggested by volunteers.

Sif: The same as Mathilde

Rasmus: I kind of like it the only thing I don’t like is the part about active volunteers: Therefore I will propose an amendment to the amendment to remove active

ÆF to ÆF AM14: PASSED

Amended AM14: PASSED

AM15:

Original: Active students

Proposed change: Volunteers

Motivation Rasmus: The same as before the active part is definable therefore, I want to change it

Mathilde: It's a grammatically mistake therefore I think we should just change it in general

Micky: Now it makes even more sense to talk only about the volunteers since we have moved it to the organizational part

AM15: PASSED

AM16:

Original: ..., so we move away from depending on the collaboration and become more open to executing academic events on our own.

Proposed change: ..., so we as a Student Council can use our available resources to execute events on our own.

Motivation Rude: I thought that the other sentence was a bit confusing and misleading. Since we want to say we want with our available resources:

AM16: PASSED

AM17:

Original: The FANE collaboration offers a broad range of courses to all RUC students

Proposed change: The FANE collaboration has the potential to offer a broad range of courses to all RUC students

Motivation Lea: It's just a small add that FANE has the potential to offer a broad range of courses to all RUC students. It's just more retvisende to write potential

AM17: PASSED

AM18:

Original: While keeping this focus, SEC will also prioritize making a wide variety of smaller events, to further improve the social environment while branding the Student Council.

Proposed change: While keeping this focus, SEC will also prioritize the volunteer effort in making a wide variety of smaller events, to further improve the social environment while branding the Student Council.

Motivation Rasmus: Prioritize the volunteer effort in making a wide variety of smaller events

Calvin: I am against I don't think that is what is the plan. I think I would vote against (note from minute taker I didn't get it all)

Mathilde: If EC finds that they don't have the resources to host the smaller events they don't but that should be looked at at the end of the year

AM18: WITHDRAWN

AM19:

Original:

(...) Hereby also ensuring better working conditions for our volunteers.

Proposed change:

The student Council should furthermore continue to work towards making Tutoring a safer and including space for everyone, e.g. by actively working against sexism and harassments. Hereby also ensuring better and safer working conditions for our volunteers

Motivation Cecillie: We talk a lot about that tutoring should be an including environment therefore I think with the new focus we need to specifically write it in

AM19: PASSED

AM20:

Original: Moreover, we want to utilize SEC to a greater extent with regards to taking good care of our volunteers in order to provide them with fun and exciting experience

Proposed change: Moreover, we want to utilize SEC to a greater extent with regards to taking good care of our volunteers in order to provide them with fun and exciting experiences. Therefore, SEC should also focus on internal events.

Motivation Marc: It's just to also have a focus on the internal events somewhere within the organization so it doesn't fall between chairs

Mathilde: Can we just for lækkerhed and reading purposes adding therefore (Indskrivning)

AM20: PASSED

Voting for the new working plan with amendments: PASSED

What does it mean to be in the board (17:15-17:37)

Marc presentation about the board and how it is structured about the entire structure, who is in the board and the fact that we are all equal. We got to work together the entire year for a better university.

Mathilde: Marc can you maybe tell me a bit about how much time it will cost

Marc: For you specifically Mathilde all your time, for you who is not Mathilde it can be whatever amount you want to put into the university. There is of course one meeting a month but other than that whatever amount you want. You will have free purple hair dye.. You can also do a lot of awesome things like going to PK

Break

Election of Chairpersonship of 2021:

Note from minute taker the speeches from the different candidates are shortened down and some points may have been lost in the process since it was hard to write everything down. This is just the most important points

Sif motivation: I wrote a pretty long candidacy so I will try to keep it short. The fact that we are so many today shows that this is an organization I want to lead and that we should be proud of. My name is Sif and I have been a part of the student council since 2018 and I have done a lot of things. I am very driven, and I want to do the things I love to do with you. And I want to do positive things for the university and make room for the people who is not the majority and continue the great work from last year

Katrine: What do you see as your best qualities

Sif: I have been doing volunteer stuff for the most of my life and I have a naturally want to do good for other ..

Marc: I did not get this question

Sif: We stand strongest when we stand together. I am talking about the enhedsorganisering so to be able to represent all students at RUC we also need to know what students face in their everyday life. I am not sure I will be colouring my hair purple however I would wear a purple wig.

Maria Motivation economic vicechair: I have decided to run for the same position. I am so not done I have so much more I want to do therefore I want to have another year to complete these tasks. Therefore, there are just a lot of things that needs to be done before I can hand this over. I am not handing that over to anyone before I am done. I think I handled the economy this year quite well.

Mathilde: You have done a lot of stuff this year, maybe sometimes more then you have to, so I am wondering if you have put in any thought about altering the eco role so you don't have too.

Maria: This year have been a real learning by doing test. So, when I thought I could do more than the economy stuff I have learned that I can't because of how many hours that are in a døgn. But we have had an expectation round.

Marc: Could you elaborate about what was the biggest problem you faced in doing this the first time

Maria: I think I understood. It was difficult in the beginning to actually know how many things I needed to do and therefore I needed to know just basic Danish law stuff and amount

of prof for doing the things you do. I am aware now; however I was not before therefore I want to write it down. I am also changing the structure of the different accounts.

Daniel Been a part of the student council since last year and I have fallen completely in love with the student council. Being a student is so much more than showing up to lectures. As I wrote in my motivation, I don't think I would have gotten this far in my studies if it hasn't been for the social aspect therefore, I believe it's very important. We need to work hard for students to get back after corona socially

Micky: The way you are phrasing this make it sound like you want SEC t be revived.

Daniel: I also want to put focus on RUC other than that both internally but also all students at RUC

Calvin: The vote since there is one person for every position, we vote whether we have trust in them or not. You have to vote in a google form

Micky wants the vote for procedure: You cannot work blank here that is the same as voting no since they need to have more than 50% of the votes

Election of Board and alternates of 2021:

Cecillie Motivation: I have been in the EC this year and I am not done with the student council. I want to switch things a bit around since I am in the tutoring chairperson ship. I want to continue to work with SEC and also hand over the DSF board to the next person

Question Mathilde: You are the newly hired ruschair. Have you out any thought into if you want to keep those two separates

Cecillie: I think they do coexist. Sometimes you need to keep them a bit separated I feel like I can do it I have also done it this year.

Cev alternate: I do like this kind of work you do in the student council and I would like to be more a part of that. I am a practical guy and I still need to learn a lot. There is a lot of nice people in the organization that I want to work with and learn from

Question Micky: I know that you are running for the municipality election. How are they going to work together

Cev: Grunden til jeg har valgt at være suppleant er at jeg også stiller op som byrådsmedlem for radikale venstre. Jeg tror også det ville være en fordel for studenterrådet at vide hvad der sker i kommunalpolitikken i Roskilde kommune.

Daniel Bjerregård: My name is Daniel Bjerregård and I am a 5th semester student at humtek. I want to fight for the right for the students since every student deserves the best. I can use my humtek problem solving brain. It was very nice to be a around you people doing the election

Question Mathilde: Other than being from HumTek is there anything specific you want to work with.

Daniel: Yes, what I really want to do in SR is to make the university more social and easier for people to have the possibility to be social.

Calvin: In the event that you get elected into the board, how will you handle the whole Daniel thing?

Daniel: We will fight to the death. No you can call me whatever just call me Bjerregård.

Marc: Feeling towards purple hair

Daniel: Nothing is stopping me from purple hair

Ditte: am also 5th semester. I think I would be great for the student council I have been running for the folketingsvalg and I started the organization rød-grønne ungdom and I was a støttekandidat for Simon

Question Maria: Do you have anything now in your life that might impact the time you can spend

Ditte: Not really, I have a job and I am in an organization but that is once a month so that is not a problem. I am a worker when I have work, I work more.

Ellen motivation: last year i participated a lot in the SR election and this year I was there every day and I really loved being a part of the student council and I really loved participating with the student council. In general, I am quite active at RUC I am in RUCbar and I am in the economic organization. So, I am a bit in,

Question Maria: What would you be most engaged in

Ellen: I want to be involved with a lot of social stuff and that's definitely a thing I will feel most passionate about

Marc: How do you see RUCbar and SR working together or your role in them

Ellen: RUCbar and SR already work a bit together. I personally don't have a specific need to further RUCbar for students or SR in total. I am already in the student house

Julie Maria motivating: Julie is on exchange. She wants to continue and rejoin our organization. She has always been political motivated and that's where her passions are. She has also done tutoring and been a part of the election. And she has political experience.

Magnus Rude: Hello you may know me from the posters. During the elections and working with SR I learned a lot about myself. You guys were super easy to talk to and I want to do more. My motivation is primarily the social aspect. I discovered I worked better with you guys-

Mathilde: Can you tell why you also want to be a specific member of the board and not just an observer

Magnus Rude: There is a lot of things going on and therefore I want to work a bit more with social events and make people happier about being at RUC and that they can be comfortable by being at campus again.

Marc question: I am going to anchor myself at two things you said: That we are talkative and that you learned a lot. Is being talkative a good thing and did you learn about yourself

Magnus: I learned that I worked better with others and that I work better with others. Its good being talkative.

Cev and Oscar: He is already in AR, how can he be in the academic as well

Maja motivation: I was in the board last year as an alternate and I would like to run again since there was a lot of things I didn't get to do. I have done student politics since I started ruc

Mathilde: Can you maybe tell us a bit about what experience you will take with you

Maja: I have knowledge about how you can get things implemented at RUC and I also learned to work together by doing tutoring.

Question Micky: How big a part of Samrådet will you be?

Maja: I will stay as an observer I am not doing as many courses this year because I have chosen to take longer with my masters. I choose to take some more time for this next semester. So, I think I have a little more time.

Marc motivation: I have been a part of the student council board for as long I have been a part of RUC almost. I don't know what I would do without the board. I want to make RUC a better place to be. I have been a part of EC for the last year. However, I have not been able to do all the things I wanted to do therefore I want to continue my time in the board.
(HAIRDYE AND MERCH)

Question Mathilde: How do you think of being in the board do you have other goals

Marc: Funny you should ask! I am planning to run for EC and I also want to work with skill development.

Question Maria: What have you learned from last year and what will you do differently:

Marc: When I started out, I was just a small Sam student after that I quickly went to a national level in DSF and that gave me a perspective on how student politics and student well-being fits together. A thing I will change is that I would like to work a lot closer with the local level instead of the national level to solve the problems where it's needed

Mathias Madvig: I am running for the board I was a part of SR before and now I am ready to be a part of the student board again.

Erik: Maybe for people not knowing you can you tell a bit about what you stud

Mathias: I study business management. Next summer I will start my thesis

Micky: Can you tell a bit about the organization: I have been vicechair of economics. I had to withdraw but now I am ready again.

Simon: I want to work with accesibility. Sadly, I didn't get elected in to AR and therefore I still want to work with accessibility. Therefore, I am running. I have been a part of the student council since my first semester and plan on being a part of it still

Mathilde: Simon I know you already have a lot of different places and accessibility will you share your ideas?

Simon: Yes, I am a part of the remaking RUC and I am talking with the architect and the engineer and where I think we could make the campus accessible. I want to have classrooms you can actually get to

Tim: *Problems with wifi*

Question Mathilde: What experience do you take with you form the study board

Tim: Now I know how to navigate in the structural level at RUC. I have a lot of political experience and knowledge about how RUC works as an institution

Marc question: What priorities do you like to work on

Tim: I am very interested in student political both nationally and on a local level whether

Victoria: I am running for SRs board because I would like to work for the study environment at RUC and I have been at unipol where we work a lot with the different things and I would like to be in the board to work with a more hands on approach in the studyboard.

Maja: How will you take you work from Sam with you into the board

Victoria; I can use my sam way of things

Erik: Everyone has up to four votes

Lea: I don't know if its just me who hasn't been paying attention or do we know who wants to be in the EC committee

Sif: I can elaborate, no not everyone said it but I think when the board is set and we are constituting the board we will know.

ERIk I think it would be a nice question to ask since it could be good to hear

Katrine: Would that be okay with you and your team Sif?

Cecillie: I am not interested however if there was ever a lack in the next semester than yes

Cev: No

Daniel: Not decided

Ditte: I haven't decided yet but leaning towards yes

Ellen: I don't have any specific wish

Julie Sejr: Maria not with complete confidence but probably

Magnus: Haven't decided

Maja: Haven't decided

Mathias, I don't have the time with my thesis

Tim: Haven't decided

Victoria: I am not interested

Calvin: We are going to vote can vote for four people. If you try to vote for more than four then it will yell at you

Mathilde: If you are unsure on whether or not you handed in you vote write Calvin, don't vote again

The voting took place on google forms:

The voting committee:

ALL THREE OF THE CANDIDATES HAVE BEEN ELECTED WITH FULL TRUST

Election of critical revision of 2021 and Election of law committee of 2021

Its an election of trust. Erik explained how the committees work.

Lea and Louise is running for the critical revision committee

Louise is not here

Lea motivation: It is a tradition that the former Econ run for the position. We look at the budget. I feel like I have the the kompetancer to fill this job

Law committee

Katrine, Micky and Signe

Micky: We are going to do a lot of working with the amendments we three make a good team we have different views on how to do this stuff but we compliment each other quite nice.

The vote will again take place in Google Forms

We have elected a law committee and critical committee with overwhelming trust

Sif: I just want to say thank you for the trust and thank you for voting for us.

Back to the election of the board

First the 6 board members:

Cecillie

Daniel

Ditte

Marc

Simon

Victoria

Alternates:

Ellen og tim

Julie and Maja

TWO ties

Therefor we will have two public drafts of the ties

Now after the tie

Tim

Ellen

Maja

Julie

Any other business (19:30-34)

Katrine: Thank you for a very nice meeting. It's been nice seeing all of you. I wish you all the best and I hope that 2021 will be better than 2020

Micky: I want to say thank you a lot for the leaving chairperson ship and the leaving board. And also thank you so much for all the work done behind the scene to make this work today and make it tolerable

Sif: I can announce a date the board meeting will not happen. We have announced a board meeting at the 7th December that will be canceled. We are working on finding a new date that are not too bad related to project

Mathilde:

Thank you for everyone who participated especially Katrine and Erik. Thank you to Julie who has been typing all day it has been great

It's very overwhelming to have to pass on my job to Sif and the others but I couldn't be happier about any other than what we decided to day