

Agenda:

- Welcome, nice to see you and how have you been's 10.30-11.00

- Formalities.11.00-11.05

Election of conductors Mathilde

Election of minute takers Rasmus

Approving of agenda -> 40 minutes of election talk to 30 minutes and add a point on buildings 16 –18. Filming videos about SR during welcome back bonding.

Approving of last meetings minutes. No comments all is approved

Present: Mathilde, Marie, Mike, Lauge, Anne mette, Sif, Lea, Maja, Rasmus, Slmon, Cecil, thorkild (left halfway)

- Orientations (O). 11.05-11.30

Chairpersonship

Tutoring: meeting with adm. at RUC every week, a lot of updates. The last meeting was about alcohol and partying and the restrictions set by the government. Parties have to close at 12 pm and only beer, cider and wine. Rustrips are postponed to later in the semester. We are lucky and the only university that have rustrips, as well as physical being at campus. Other universities are not as fortunate.

Unipol: we have had most of our Work done through written systems. They have taken a lot of our ideas and including changing the process, for the master reform, so the only thing they have had to decide on was Yes we want a reform, and a preliminary budget. We are getting the first brutto catalog and tomorrow (7/2) they are meeting to further work with what educations can be made at RUC. It's been hard to do other things due to corona. Right now it is all the VIPs making educations, and the ball have moved to their court. In the future there will be held seminars with all student representatives, and possibly for all students as well on an institutet basis. The precise idea is still not done but something like that. At least 4 seminars where students are invited.

Handbook: we got the first design and it looks good, we will be getting a prototype for us to test and look at, everything is on schedule.

Annual report: we will be looking at this draft today so all board members can see it. We get a new bookkeeper in august and he seems very nice and he's in charge of DSF as well so he can help and make sure our money

Environmental group: Niras report is getting implemented.

LPU: PK is getting closer, and if we are not allowed to get the fire approval we can book rooms for sleep over so PK can be stayed. We might have issues with the different rooms (auditorium) and we need to figure out with the program how we can accomodate this issue.

Date 20 to 22nd of september. Marc is withdrawing from the DSF board, and cecil is ready to teach a protégé. If no one wants to do it, he will keep up on it.

- Welcome back bonding 11.30-12.00

We are going to use the same concept as last time. Both danish and english. We are also going to take a video, where we say we are the student council. This is only for SR.

**- Annual Cycle – reprioritizing our work (WS + DE) 12.00-12.45
w/Maria and Mathilde**

Committee work and need to do something.

Maria – SEC and FANE

Mike – CIC and Subject council

Lauge – Subject Council and LPU

Anne – well-being and Subject Council

Sif – LPU, Unipol and more

Lea - Unipol

Maja – well-being SEC

Rasmus - SEC (already a plan in teams), Environmental (Green project at Campus next board meeting), Unipol, FANE (Two options in the future, with and without the unions. The precise cases will be presented on the next board meeting by Rasmus, regardless we need to renegotiate with the unions.)

Simon - CIC

Cecil – SEC and LPU

Tjok – SEC

Kenny – Unipol

Mathilde – Unipol and well being.

Subject council network, SEC, well-being and FANE/academic committee

SEC: meeting in April about next semester – would still like the beverage run and grill night. Hopefully we can also make a corona party. With separate cups and stuff. And of course christmas lunch. We talked about the june for volunteers but might be on our board weekend.

Subject council – talked about election stuff. The second half would be events with unions for students maybe together.

Well being – never approved the policy paper, that should be a priority for the fall and to make it the beginning of working group stuff and campaigning. Availability and being visible. Primarily it has been psychological focused. We hope to have something prior to the election to add the long list of things we do. More re priotizing during the budget discussion.

**- Student well-being and studystart campaign (WS+DE) 12:45-13.30
w/Sif, Maria and Mathilde**

The study start plan from DSF. DSF fall campaign about student democracy and student involvement on a national scale. They have asked about what we want to prioritize, like stories where it works and where it does not. Mid sep. To mid oct. If we need anything we can ask for help.

We are working on student well-being. We want to talk about study start/ fall campaign.

Go into groups and what you should focus on.

Visibility (PR-campaign), openness with regards to inviting in and being more accommodation, but not measuring things between one another (pit stop, raising awareness PR). More security for students with regards to everchanging rules and regulations at RUC (discussions with RUC on how to handle the matter).

Sum up:

Talk was had, campaign about a different study start, so a focus on visibility and student rights and democracy and fill their minds until election. Work with DSF on a national scale. Make it be a natural part of the election and maybe also second semester.

Political thing – it was a good idea, work it in to the election. Well-being – student loneliness, mass feasts and making sure students don't feel lonely. "how to throw a legal party"

It is important that this is not done solo but with a purpose, so it becomes an integral part of what we want as students. How has corona affected the students well-being. Job loss, loneliness, corona resolution, there could also be a focus on what org. At RUC focus on social lives, and what is going on at campus to strengthen the social life, community and stuff. it would be nice if this was a longer time for the campaign and end it with bbq.

Anti social generation on RUC due to corona, emphasize the tutoring events and bonding for students. We could use this as branding as well, and make sure students feel accommodated.

Student democracy – focus efforts on something that could be a bigger campaign and not just here in RUC. Where as well-being would be more focused in the different social events. It would need that we gain some traction on this front with the national event and make sure we get the VIPs to back us up. Find the essence of why student democracy is so important and needed. Otherwise it would be "fake". Make sure the students know why they should care, and not just ohh yea you guys are doing this and actually care. It has to be worth our resources. With everything that goes on ever since the HUM-blockade, and other universities have similar feelings and this could gain a lot of attention and traction for the future.

Discussion – make concrete ideas for the studystart campaign.

Sum up

How to get well-being and democracy into one – no student well-being without student democracy (slogan). How to get inclusion on decisions as students. PR on facebook, insta and web how we are fighting for democracy. Students don't know all the different organs at RUC. Informativ campaign, back in the day to now, make a timeline to make sure people understand how much has happened and changed. International students, there shouldn't be something specifically for them. It should be for us all together <3 lots of laughs were had.

Corona – what have we learned good and bad, put that into the campaign and make an event regarding this. Make coffee pop ups, not talking about the election. Poster in 27 where you have a "list" of where to get what kind of help. Make RUC great again (intra). Make smaller events, focused on institutes and how we get together after corona. Party bus/boat for volunteer. End the campaign with an event focus on well-being and gather people up, different kinds of well-being and maybe end with a concert or comedy show. Make it cozy, perhaps at Narnia, where we could make a rolling bar for each group and have some kind of togetherness while still being regulated, and get help from KAM to plan and host the event. Have a "postbox" where participants could put in things that are

concerning them, so either the experts can talk about it and we can take the them as well to further focus our effort. Create a homecoming party for all of this. We are coming “home” after corona.

“Well-being festival” creating a make shift stage with different speakers outside (maybe at Narnia), and host a one day festival which could end with a concert or comedy (has been demanded).

Lunch 13.30-14.00

- Student well-being and studystart – continued (WS+DE) 14.00-15.00 w/ Sif, Maria and Mathilde

Mathilde: there were some really good ideas, a lot of ideas that weren't brought to the discussion. We always have a goal to host events but it's harder to gain volunteers to help with the work, so we should be realistic with what we plan. Start by asking what is the theme of what we say, have a catchphrase and who's our target. Students might not be our target for democracy, even though it seems backwards, but we have to put pressure on the higher places. Whereas the trivsel, we need to go in to dialog and get something fruitful out of it and it needs to make sense.

Anne mette: student well-being is more important right now due to corona. But does still agree.

Mathilde: would rather do an event for trivsel and student democracy as the theme for campaign. With the master reform and hum-blockade and the like is a bigger driving force towards angry students solving problems.

Sif: you are right with that assesment. But if we are going to do that, it needs to be coordinated with the national campaign. We need to know how much the other student councils are putting in to this.

Lea: we should find out who is doing the work. The size is important for the events.

Sif: it is super nice if there is something all committees are working towards the same larger or overarcng goal.

Mathilde: We need to find out who can do the time and effort for this to be something. And need to speak with the other MO's. If they don't do much then we should focus on a smaller events on RUC.

We need main coordinators for the campaign. Campaign secretariat with Mette, lea and Maja. And if we don't have a full campaign leader and team then we have to cancel. We are all in this together <3. Leader is “supervisor” and making sure everything goes right.

Important to have in the campaign.

The target: the leadership at RUC need to see it (high visibility, we are still here and we still wann fight for our education)

Mangement at RUC should be the focus group. And have student support, so it's not just SR being “angry on student behalf”. Two split target groups so we do something for the students well-being festival, have some debats to get the students indput and take it to the mangement so they can see they are missing important stuff. Make everything to be in cooperation in all committees and working groups, VIP all of them so we get a strong sense of democracy and why it's so important. Hopefully we get a lot of feedback from students but how are we sure that we actually reach and get in touch students.

Right now we can't just do information's campaigns with the current situations. But the priority is to have a case to bring to the management so we can initiate real change. This could be used together with the master reform. Use it as a form of leverage so we can say WE WANT INCLUSION!!!

Once somebody is starting the campaign, it is important that we have a campaign strategy for the followthrough of the campaign. This should ensure that we are seen by the students, and hopefully get some support for it all.

"All sugar is replaced with brun farin" - mathilde 2020

Big on is going to be the trivsel festival, with KAM and the library. For now we have Mette, Lea and Maja as the preliminary campaign group. Some should manage events and paper stuff.

Break 15.00-15.15

- Deadline for running as DSF representative

- Election of DSF representative 15.15-15.30

One vote – Julie Lindmann is running.

Julie is elected

Election – where are we now (O+DI) 15.30-15.50 w/Sif and Mathilde

Election 2020, this year it's both AR and UB we are running for. UB is for a 2 year period.

Sif and Mathilde wants to have election be more a part of the board.

Status:

Two communication PR coordinator

Two for volunteer coordinator

Material coordinator

And hygge coordinator.

These are not fully filled in, to wait until the candidates are on board.

Three sure candidates. - one international named Minhal, two others, Lauge AR for SAM and Simon for AR (the better Rasmus). Fits perfectly with our main theme with well-being.

Master students need a clear parole.

Still missing for HUM, HUM-tek and NAT.

- Election strategy (DI+DE) 15.50-16.10 w/Sif and Mathilde

There is an email for election strategy the board should have had.

There is still going to be climate friendly agenda.

There is still going to be a focus on well-being for the election.

Openness and unity organization is still key for the election.

We are trying to be a head of the curve with candidates and volunteers.

Don't forget the hygge.

Keeping (or exceed) the level of representative would be a success

The election strategy has been approved!

Building 16-18

A legal firm contacted us about their plans for 16-18, the concept of the student housing is that it is going to be an "andelshandel" there is going to be a sense of community and volunteer cafe's and shops for the buildings. RUC likes the idea of student housing. The kommunen would like to make it to offices, RUC wants student housing instead. But the shops can't make a profit.

There are two arguments

- Against, we are a part of a student movemnet that also involves the indstillignsudvalg, and we should support this process
- For, it is nice with student housing.
- For, if they are not used for student housing the could use them for something else to get a profit for it.

Student houseing could be nice, but the café would not be a good idea as this would split the things between RUCbar and this café. The café should be volunteer driven and the shops should be recycling shops thrift shop.

What would the outcome be if we say one thing or another. How do we ensure that the shops should be for all students.

They want us to back them up, to show student supporting. It might be nice to some but not to others. There shouldn't be an issue with andelskøb, it should be priotized for RUC students and could then be opened up for others.

Love th idea of student housing, but no andel. Besides that good idea.

Getting them to go through the official channel. But we need to think about what if they say andel or offices. That might change our outlook quite a bit.

It is a hårknude, if we not support this it might not be approved by the kommunen but if we agree it's going around the official channel and andel could be a gateway for students having parents who can afford the andel and segragate the student bodies.

We think it is an interesting idea and rather housing then offices. But the andel does not fit with the idea of student housing.

Suggestion: we think it is a interesting idea, but we can't support the andel. And not going through unofficial channels.

Is it possible to include RIU og SIU? But getting them into this matter would be nice and very helpful. It would be a different idea if they join them.

We love the initiative, but we have these concerns, but we can't endorse their budget and andel.

If they say no, then we need to discuss it in the board again.

If they change the offer we still need to go through the board.

Break 16.10-16.25

- Skill development in the fall (DI) 16.25-16.45

This year we were trying to spend some of the money we got for skill development. But corona. So how can we use this money for the rest of the year.

Lea: Volunteering and oral presentation, it would be fun to have some skill development in discussion and making arguments.

Lauge: Who the audience is -> depends RUC has some rules and plans for events. So it have to fit with these rules and regulations.

Sif: for the board it would be nice to have a how to campaign.

Mette: the argumentation and campaigning. Maybe some SoMe as this could be a good idea.

Mathilde: frivillig ledelse -> for the board + how to recruit and mobilize. Previously this was done in EC last year. It would be nice.

Maria: how to board, how to be a strong board. How to be more focused and stronger when lifting tasks.

Mike: infrastructure, board, annual cycle. Be more effective and better

Sif: to do team building. Barbie doll from the helicopter. Subject council and unipol.

Mathilde: on a good day we are half our board. This is frustrating. Is it possible to ensure that people show up.

Maja: it's hard to make people show up. It would be a good idea to ensure the day works for all rather than just setting a day.

Lea: the issue could also be that we arrange a lot of things in the weekends. and this might be a thing for some students that will not be incentivized to show up. Maybe have two to three smaller events would help with shown up.

Mike: people have been showing more up with teams and stuff. There is a thing with people might have bias towards the points on the agenda, so they might pick and choose.

Mette: if it is targeted at the board, they are expected to show up, and if it is something more beneficial for people like team building – or at least more suggested.

Sif: agree with Mike, if there is a smaller board meeting back at campus, make it shorter. People aren't here because they don't want to be here but because they can't.

Maja: the reason I second guess whether or not they are important, is this really important for e.g workday or team building compared to board meetings. If there is an agenda it is more important.

Lauge: I think it is hard to find a topic people want to spend an entire day or even afternoon in high stress time, an afternoon can be high commitment. There might always be people that won't think this makes sense for them.

Sif: maybe if we do it like we have a board meeting, so they have to hand in cancellation like board meeting.

Mathilde: It shouldn't be the goal that you have to be there for all workshops, and all but still Board meetings. Suggestion: if we do spend a lot of money on SD, it should be a principle to invite the subject councils or invite tutoring or Roskilde coordinators. We should reach out to other student organization.

- Political Conference (O+DE) 16.45-17.15 w/Marc

As was said in Orientations, we are looking in to sleeping arrangements. Two and half weeks after our election. It is important that we split our efforts so you're either PK or election. so we need to find a PK working group.

Any takers for planning PK: Maria would be in charge of bar at PK.

- Budget revisited (DE) 17.15-17.35 w/Maria

There is some other stuff that has come up, we took away RF, some other expenses have come up. some stuff had not been put in for tutoring. Tutors should not pay for their hoodies and this would change. Moms was not added it properly, so we might get a "fine" for the missing moms. This has to be signed by Friday.

Mathilde: do the DUF compensation money ais in? -> yes and with moms. It is worth looking into if there might be a difference in the moms, can we get around it? -> it is going to be left out of the report. But stuff that comes up with moms is handled later.

Lauge: we do have to pay moms back. -> moms is 25% of all we make. This could be a rather large sum we would have to pay back. We have a strong asset (egenkapital) so we do not have to close up shop in worse case. we get a new bookkeeper so we are excited and it's gonna be great.

Moms is not Eco fault, we pay for people to ensure that it is in order.

New deals with the unions, but FANE will be less.

we will get less from SEC.

We got money from DUF, less then hoped but at least it's a help. Some got nothing.

We are still applying for funds for handbook and PK, so these are not within the budget.

A lot has been cut.

500 for the BM's short afternoons and long BM.

Board seminar. Most of what we have in them are educational and cut to 1000

No comments on the use of RUC political money.

We will not have the money for a second employee. So we cant have a new employee ready to start training for replacing Signe. There are put in some buffers.

Some thigns have been moved from one group to other groups to fit.

We should not pay more for FANE then the unions.

Can we spend money in the educational point to account for tutoring development.

If we can show the budget then they should not be able to see which pot of money we are using.

Opkvalifecering money can be spend on tutoring.

Still negotiating with tutoring regarding shirts and hoodies.

SR emil has to pay for more stuff

Throw some money for RF coordinators for handover and organizing RF stuff in the basement.

If the budget holds we get a surplus.

We always get questions regarding different posts, and what posts and why we have them. It might be a good idea to have a buffer.

How much is in PK -> some educational money would be put as well on top of the amount set for PK. We get some money from DSF for PK -> if calvin recalls right 20.000 to PK from DSF.

The budget is approved

Moms will be accounted for in the annual report. as well as some money back to RUC.

Read and sign the annual report by Friday -> ask if you have any questions. either ruc or SR mail.

Break 17.35-17.50

- Picture time .17.50-18.00

- Honorarium (DI) 18.00-18.50
w/Maria and Mathilde

Honorarium structure has been discussed for the last couple of years, previously UB have had a seat in EC, this has changed. UB get their honorarium for the amount of tasks they have, and this is different from AR since EC might call for UB to give their opinion and help whenever it is needed. It's been tradition that UB get money, as a thank you and help.

So now we discuss honorarium for UB in the future. It's just for a discussion regarding honorarium for all honorariums. And the structure of this.

We are deciding on whether or not we should change the structure, prior to anyone signing up for UB.

In one year we spend 432000 on honorarium each year, everyone get's the same amount. 2300 roughly per person. This is money we get from RUC. Not our free money.

Previously the UB have been "split" one for EC and one for UB networking.

Sum up

We pay some people because we buy their time and demand they put in extra effort when the lokum is burning. Why are some peoples time worth more then others?

UB buy peoples time and knowledge and experience, it's not a job anyone can do. We think it is important to open up for all honorariums. Its about time but as well as if people run out of SU or as a supplement for SU. So you might have to work a job instead.

We talked about the same. If we pay UB should we also pay AR, our expectations are different for each organ, for the organisation. we talked about sustainability for our organisation. We secure our time survival as an organisation.

A new structure for the honorarium could be discussed.

Change of the structure. Remove pay entirely – but increase the obligations of all board members. If we were to start from no point, we might not end up with the current strucutre.

Expectations for UB, EC and AR as well as chairs. We were really struggling with finding common ground. Whether or not we were only paying UB for the experience or their time. Debating how it would like if we did it differently. One of the suggestions were that you could divide it for UB 3000 AR 1000 as a symbolic payment, although this was not full agreed upon. If we should do it differently, then we should stop paying UB, but we should not pay the AR. The problem is that we are either going up in the time or comparing the board. The tasks are so different and that makes this discussion very difficult.if we were to pay the AR then we would have to have new expectations, and this would also change the expectations for UB.

It looks quite important to exclude time from the equation due to efficiency, workload but we think it is fair that we differentiate to different positions. It is also quite important to ensure that pay shows at match between expectations. It might be worth to consider a minimum for AR as a very symbolic amount. So, the compensation for the workload would be so small that at some point it wouldn't make sense.

It is different whether to expect someone to be present comparing to assume people will be there.

Should this discussion continue in the future?

Is there a problem with the way things are now? -> the decision to pursue this discussion will be for the next year. It should be considered for an active decision in the future.

We have already been discussing this, so we have to think about this, and take this discussion.

This discussion is important to distingish for the board why we are paying some positions and not others. And make sure everybody is on the same time.

These discussions are super important to considering the future and make a DE point regarding this.

We should continue discussing this. We need to have this for organisation and the main goal should be to try collective idea about this, so we know where we stand as a an organisation.

This is will be put on a point for a fall semester board meeting. A working group will be made to figure out this. This working group should be included with people who have or have had honorarium. And ask older SR people to get a historical perspective as well, so the analysis is not only from the consensus of this room.

It's good to get working group with people who have had gotten honorarium but we it should be representative for the organisation.

"Old people" should be used for reference and not in the working group per say.

Later then September it would interfere with election. It should be a couple of meeting and writing time.

Kenny, Mike, Mathilde, Sif.

It should hopefully be representative group making sure it works.

Working group is approved. The purpose is not to change per say, but to analyze the situation. And to prepare the board for this DE., and this shouldn't be a decision on who's strongest opinion.

Is there time enough to get an informed discussion and decision in august. We should in an ideal world, the most democratic way to do it, would be to work on it, then prepare it to the for the board and then make a decision later, as to not make any hasty decision as well as have alternatives ready as well. So we don't have an SRExit.

It would be a good idea to discuss different model for how this should be handle and that could be discussed, in the board and end up as the last thing. Somethings have to be decided rather soon. Leave as much open as you can. Since the chairs and UB have to be decided in august.

Working group:

Sif, Kenny, Mike, Lauge and Mathilde, get something ready soon. That could be presented to the board and the decision must be done prior to September. (worst case it will call for an Extraordinary meeting)

Maybe we don't need someone from eco to be in the working group but it would make sense to include them when discussing this.

Calendar games 18.50-19.00

One meeting a month.

August 12th 16 o'clock

September 7th 15 o'clock

(Working day) September 24th

Board seminar October 2nd - 4th

Election (week 44) 27th october to 3rd november

Board meeting november 10th 16 o'clock

General assembly december 5th

Short board meeting december 7th

A.O.B19.00-19.10**

Sif: last week we got an email from an old student council RUC, Call for solidarity. We would like to endorse it in the EC and send it to Hanne, email it to the board and post it so social media.

AND of course:

Bonding, dinner and fun [#beerandseek](#)