

Minutes from the Board meeting of the Student Council 13th of October

Date	13th of October
Members of the Board present	Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen (FM), Signe Tolstrup Mathiasen (FM), Louise Mattesen Provstgaard (FM), Phillip Crilles Bacher (UB), Thomas Juul Hangaard (UB), Pia Maagaard Hansen (AR), Micky Winther Ronnenberg (AR), Katrine Damberg (AR), Signe Bøtzau Paulsen, Rasmus Duus Daugaard, Lea Holritzer Pehrson, Nicolai Otto (alternate) 12 people <u>Abbreviations:</u> FM: Formandsskab / the Chairmanship FU: Forretningsudvalg / Executive Committee AR: Akademisk Råd / Academic Council UB: Universitetsbestyrelsen / The University Board
Absent with abolition	Erik Lørup (AR)
Absent without abolition	Anne-Sophie Schröder (AR), Amanda Costa Bizarro (AR), Sofie Holmbjerg, Yavuz Inekci, Julie Lund Jensen, Peter Dusan Nacic Sørensen, Morten Jensen (alternate), Erik Slot Malmqvist (alternate), Mennan Şerefoğlu (alternate)
Observers	Sif Stokholm, Cecilie Möller, Antonio Cavagnari

Formalities

Election of conductors	Johan and Thomas
Election of minute taker	Signe Tolstrup
Approval of the agenda	The agenda has been amended because the annual account is not ready. Suggestion to add more time to both the point about PK and the Green Student Movement. Approved.
Approval of the last Board meeting minutes	Approved, but it has to be translated to English



Orientations

Committee	Presenter	Short summary of the orientation given
FU / EC		Written in the appendix
LPU	Pia	Pia has been to two LF-meetings (board meetings in DSF) since we last met. Among other things, they have discussed DSF's work plan, the proposal for a revised contingent key and the proposal for a policy paper on housing politics.
Tutoring		
Stud. rep.	Katrine	The student representatives network had a meeting last week with a few participants. They shared experiences with being representatives in Study Boards. The format of the meeting worked well and the participants were enthusiastic. The next meeting is planned to be the 7th of November, where the newly elected representatives will be invited as well.
Organisational committee		
UNIPOL	Katrine	There has been a UDDU meeting, where there was a lot of debate about co-examiners. The university leadership (UL) has made a decision to cut in the time co-examiners have to read projects without informing UDDU for quite a long time. Both us and a lot of the VIP's are very unhappy about that UDDU has not been involved in this decision. Now there is going to be a general debate about involvement and influence in UDDU and AR and we are writing a joint hearing statement with the VIP's in AR about our involvement in decision making processes.
CIP		
Academic Event Committee		
RBC	Rasmus	There is "sjatfest" next Saturday (the 20th of October), and they have evaluated the semester start party with RUC Bar.
PR	Thomas	Have printed rain ponchos with the SR-logo for the election. They should be here the 18th of October.

Agenda Point 3

Topic of Discussion	Lukket punkt
Presenter(s)	
Discussion summary	

Agenda Point 4

Topic of Discussion	DSF Political Conference
Presenter(s)	Signe Tolstrup
Discussion summary	<p>We discuss our opinion on DSF's housing policy which is going to be debated and approved on the Political Conference the 2nd to 4th of November.</p> <p>It is being clarified what the role of delegation leader entails. This is to lead our delegation from RUC, conducting delegation meetings and participating in delegation leader meetings with the other delegations leaders both before and during PK, to ensure that the political conference goes as smoothly as possible. As delegation you work on amendments and amendments to amendments to the hosing policy and the working plan.</p> <p>Comments to the housing policy from group 1: Line 37: We think it is weird that it says "academic" Line 58: consider writing something more about that new buildings should be handicap-friendly. Line 87: the issue about that some places, especially dorms, discriminate based on grades. In general, the paper repeat it self a bit, which makes it longer than</p>

	<p>it had to be.</p> <p>Group 2: There is missing an issue in the section “et usikkert lejemarked” and “ikke nok boliger” - that the precarious rental market gets worse by the fact that there are not enough housing. The connection between the two sections is important.</p> <p>Line 12-15: the hour from one’s home to the place of one’s study should be with public transport. Line 28: both municipal and state buildings Line: 30-37: more direct wording on temporary housing - that temporary housing cannot be a replacement of actual student housing. Line 40-41: self-contradiction in the whole section? Line 26-29: what is campus legislation? Can terminated buildings be made into student housing? The Planning Act opposite municipal buildings at the universities. National housing caranties are awesome. The section about the precarious rental market is very important and needs to be defenced. What is DSF’s opinion on parent owned housing (forældrekøb) Strange phrasing about room for a desk, closet etc. This needs to be understood based on the fact that there needs to be a connection between what one pays and what there then needs to be room for. You could also refer to the existing legislation about square metres compares to the rent you pay - however the square metres are often calculated a bit weird. It is not necessary to refer to the rent act, since this is implicit in the word of DSF. The phrasing is good as it is, since it puts the student in the centre and is good to have if the rental act gets changed.</p> <p>There is an issue about “old” private dorms. It is hard to make demands eg. in relation to discrimination based on grades to private owners, since we after all have private property right in Denmark. However it’s still important to aim the critique.</p> <p>Election of delegation leader: Phillip is running. He will fight to get our points through and is very excited.</p>	
<p>Conclusions</p>	<p>Phillip is elected as delegation leader.</p> <p>The deadline to sign up for the political conference is Friday the 19th of October at 12.00. Write to Phillip if you would like to be part of our delegation.</p>	
<p>Action Items</p>	<p>Responsible</p>	<p>Deadline</p>
<p>Pia tells Sana that Phillip is elected as delegation leader.</p>	<p>Pia</p>	<p>asap</p>



Consider is you would like to be part of the delegation and tell Phillip before Friday the 19th.	Everyone.	19.10
--	-----------	-------

Agenda Point 5

Topic of Discussion	The Green Student Movement
Presenter(s)	Johan
Discussion summary	<p>We have to formulate a our opinion about the Green Student Movement, since it is not formulated in any of our policies.</p> <p>We can reformulate our educational principles on a General Assembly, but this might not be necessary. We just need to reach an agreement about what eg. UNIPOL should mean about green policies and the green student movement.</p> <p>Question if we are debating whether the Green Student Movement should be a part of the Student Council. Clarification: that's not the case. We just need to agree on what UNIPOL's mandate concerning climate policies should be.</p> <p>One point: of course we should have an opinion about climate policy. But it should not be a core struggle. If there is something on the agenda in a organ where it makes sense to include the "green angle", we should do it. But we don't need to push it ourselves.</p> <p>It makes sense to work on in relation to campus. The solar panels on the roofs, what RUC invest in etc. More academic debates about the curriculum should be in the study boards.</p> <p>Addition: we should offer to collaborate with the Green Student Movement by help them gain access to different bodies at RUC, keep each other posted when we talk with Hanne or other in the leadership at RUC about these issues.</p> <p>It is an issue that affects everyone, but especially young people - and in that way also the students we represent.</p> <p>New point: we should also be able to put climate issues on the agenda in different organs. Climate change is also relevant for student's lives.</p> <p>We should help the movement with voting.</p> <p>It is not our core task. The amount of points we can raise in eg. the Academic Council is very limited. So it can be very difficult to prioritize the green agenda over our core work to improve</p>

	<p>education.</p> <p>In terms of collaboration with the Green Student Movement, we need to emphasize that it is a collaboration - it goes two ways.</p> <p>Research strategy - this is a place where we can push for more research into climate change. But this is also a place, where we need the Green Student Movement to participate proactively and help us figure out how to work with this.</p> <p>In our working plan it says that we should support new student organizations. So let's help them, and also accept that they know much more about it than us.</p> <p>It's our job to give all student voice and help with capacity building.</p> <p>The argument that other issues are more important is the same argument that is used in national politics and this is why the climate crisis is so serious and has not been resolved yet.</p>	
Conclusions	<p>Clear majority to cooperate with the Green Student Movement and help them gain access to relevant bodies at RUC, by telling them who they should talk to about different issues. Then our elected can help them by voting for these issues.</p> <p>Agreement on working with "physical" issues at RUC, eg. the solar panels, renovation of buildings, investments.</p> <p>The board gives UNIPOL mandate to work with this, but it should not be our overruling agenda. UNIPOL is also welcome to invite the Green Student Movement to come to a meeting or make a workshop about climate issues.</p>	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 6

Topic of Discussion	A.O.B
Presenter(s)	Conductors
Discussion summary	1) Phillip has been contacted by a journalist earlier this week, who wanted to know why RUC still uses Danske Bank. Proposal that Phillip and Thomas propose to the University Board that RUC quits



	the cooperation with Danske Bank. Can we as a board support this?	
Conclusions	1) The board supports the proposal to ask the University Board to quit the cooperation with Danske Bank. Suggestion to follow the line of CBS. It is very strong if the universities jointly boycotts Danske Bank. Phillip and Thomas write to the chairman of the board and ask to get it on the agenda. Potentially also contact CBS Students and ask how they have worked with this.	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline