

Minutes from the board meeting of the Student Council

Date	13th of August 2018
Members of the Board present	Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen (FM), Signe Tolstrup Mathiasen (FM), Louise Mattesen Provstgaard (FM), Erik Lørup (AR), Micky Winther Ronnenberg (AR), Rasmus Duus Daugaard (FU), Lea Holritzer Pehrson (FU), Peter Dusan Nicic Sørensen, Nicolai Otto (alternate), Mennan Şerefoğlu (alternate) 10 people <u>Abbreviations:</u> FM: Formandskab / the Chairmanship FU: Forretningsudvalg / Executive Committee AR: Akademisk Råd / Academic Council UB: Universitetsbestyrelsen / The University Board
Absent with abolition	Phillip Bacher, Katrine Damberg, Annika Roeckle, Morten Jensen, Pia Maagaard, Sisse Sjøgren, Erik Malmqvist, Sofie Holmbjerg, Yavuz Inekci, Signe Bøtzau, Julie Lund,
Absent without abolition	Anne-Sophie Schröder, Amanda Costa,
Observers	Thomas Hangaard

Formalities

Election of conductors	Johan and Lea
Election of minute taker	Mennan
Approval of the agenda	Approved, with the addition of Louise's point regarding Paris 8
Approval of the last Board meeting minutes	Approved, with the correction that Erik wasn't present

Orientations

Committee	Presenter	Short summary of the orientation given
FU / EC	Johan, Signe, Louise	Mathilde is out of the board and FU, so there a know an open spot in the board and FU. Hanne Leth has been introduced to tutoring and attended a walkthrough through some of the houses with Louise and Johan. Heidi is back from sick leave, so she can be addressed in the office once again.
LPU	Signe	Signe and Mathilde attended LPU summercamp.
Tutoring	Mennan	No update. The opinion of the committee is that the committee should be abolished.
Stud. rep.	Rasmus	Soon to be a meeting where the rest of the semester is going to be planned
Organisational committee		
UNIPOL		
CIP	Peter	Cooperation with IC and parts of Humråd have been started. Numbers of attendees have been rising.
Academic Event Committee	Mennan	Julie is meeting with FANE this week.
RBC	Rasmus	Planning of the Kapsejlads and Semesterstartparty and it is going well. RBS are in need of three lifeguards and bartenders, which they are seeking presently.
PR	Lea	Plans to make a video.

Agenda Point 3

Topic of Discussion	Study start activities
Presenter(s)	Signe Tolstrup and Rasmus

**Discussion
summary**

Inputs regarding the presentations for the new students:

- Connect the tutoring and SR.
- Talk about some of the big “battles” (SH, mild improvements of the Fremdriftsreform).
- RBC, social aspects. Kapsejlads. Have focus on how RBC is the committee that needs freelance-type volunteers the most.
- The fact that they can always come to SR and big and small things. The office is always open.
- The presentation should be fun.
- Talk about what SR generally do, not so many specifics.
- Talk about the importance of volunteerism.
- The ruslings should have an idea of who is in SR. Reference the specific tutor, if there are any from SR present.
- Make a very basic presentations of the system in which SR operates.
- Make it stick out and not drown in all the presentation.
- Stress the importance of sharing of knowledge and know how.
- Talk about “we” as in all the students.
- Show all the opportunities there are in joining SR.
- Do you want actual practice, then this is somewhere to do it.
- Show that it is important that they are able to come with their own input.
- Prezi is the way to go, it looks nice.

Discussions whether we should make a study-start campaign:

- It is a good idea to make a campaign where there are pictures that are uploaded to fx Facebook.
- Make it lowkey, not anything too fancy.
- Economically we have more than enough to make a campaign.
- UNIPOL should also have an opportunity to make inputs.
- Could be done in the days up to the Kapsejlads and party.
- Talk about the study environment, house communities.
- Know our audience: be sure that the new students we know what we talk about.
- Make “what did tutoring do for you?” pictures.
- Make SR rainponchos.
- Interview new students at the Kapsejlads about “what do you think about the study environment, etc?”.
- General theme: study environment.
- Talk with Anna Lydia Mørck, she has some ideas for some positive campaigns.
- Talking about being a part of the volunteer-community: on one hand it is showing some opportunities to have fun and be productive, but it is important not to seem like only volunteers are “active students”.

Conclusions	<p>Contact RBC if you (or someone you know) are interested in being a part of the Semesterstartparty.</p> <p>SR have been booked to make a presentation for almost all the new houses, so Signe T. would like some help to form a presentation. She is making the presentations next week and the rest of the board can sign up for doing presentations afterwards.</p> <p>Lea and Thomas will lead an effort to make interviews and cards to pictures for the Kapsejlads. Thomas are responsible to look at prices regarding ponchos.</p>	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 4

Topic of Discussion	Planning of the next semester in the committees	
Presenter(s)	Signe Tolstrup	
Discussion summary	Discussions whether is make sense to do this point at this meeting, on the grounds that not enough boardmembers are present.	
Conclusions	The point have been cancelled and will be taken up at another date.	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 5

Topic of Discussion	Follow up volunteer workshop from last board meeting
Presenter(s)	Signe Tolstrup

**Discussion
summary**

Last time the organizational committee talked about how the work in SR could be more evened out and also how more volunteers can be incorporated. Discussions and notes regarding the volunteer-guide:

- There should be a discussion on how the guide should be used, not only how the guide should look. Maybe through a more abstract guide, not so specific.
- The idea of the current guide is to make a 'for dummies'-guide, so there is something to work from.
- The mentor corps should be more flexible and should be based on people who are comfortable with the tasks and want to be a part of the programme.
- The guide is also made to combat the tendency of "forgetting" new students as the first and second semester passes.
- It is nice that the guide doesn't require you to talk to others, that you can use it on your own.
- We should have in mind how we, ourselves, got into SR.
- We could make a task-bank, where we could send new volunteers.
- The mentor corps shouldn't be a solid institution, but more a way to talk about it for us in the board.
- People rarely know what they want, we shouldn't people too much in boxes, give them opportunities to go further than what they think they want.
- We should have in mind what tasks are always available, fx RBC.
- Have the tutors in mind, they have the network and time to volunteer work.
- Don't think about the tasks as too rigid.

About how people got into SR:

- Personal contact is important.
- Trust people, they grow as the tasks grow.
- Give them an idea on how they can change their study environment.
- Get people early and gradually.
- Give people a sense of importance.
- Get people in through study board and by making them support candidates.
- Make contact through several people.

Discussions on how we should do a course about volunteerism:

- Maybe not a whole day.
- Concrete tools, what to do in which situations.
- Workshop, in favor of pure lecture
- Could be in context on a meeting, but on the other hand it

	<p>could exclude other organisations, which would be bad.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Could also be done as a later meeting, in the evening. 	
Conclusions	<p>The committee have made a step by step plan on how to deal with new students who are potential volunteers. And proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Create a mentor corps (DENIED. Instead we should work from the competency-documents, so to have an idea of who should be contacted, if relevant). - Upskill the board in terms of meeting new volunteers (APPROVED) - Make a competence/interest assessment for the board (APPROVED) - Make an overview of tasks which potentially new volunteers can do (APPROVED, in Trello) <p>The course about to deal with potential volunteers will be done, it should last a couple of hours for all interested, and not necessarily in context of a meeting.</p>	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 6

Topic of Discussion	Election coordinators and candidates
Presenter(s)	Johan and Rasmus
Discussion summary	<p><u>Discussions on how the elections coordinator should work:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last year there were too many coordinators. - Next election there should be two coordinators working with strategy, two with volunteers, one with communications (SoMe) and a logistics responsible. - Other than that there is a few other responsibilities, fx meeting with Frit Forum. There is also a job about contact with the Study Boards. - It is not necessary for the coordinators to have a lot of experience in SR beforehand. - Signe T. is planning on working as a coordinator once again

	this election.	
Conclusions	<p>It is approved by the board, that the election committee has been given competency to select election coordinators.</p> <p>Every decisions by the election committee has to be presented to the board.</p> <p>The board has the right to, at any time, to withdraw the competency to elect election coordinators from the election committee.</p>	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 7

Topic of Discussion	Calendar game	
Presenter(s)	Conductors	
Discussion summary		
Conclusions	<p>The following dates are the dates for the next board meeting, all board meetings will be from 16.00-20.00:</p> <p>Meeting 8: 11th of September</p> <p>Meeting 9: 12th-14th of October</p> <p>Meeting 10: 15th of November</p> <p>Meeting 11: 11th of January</p> <p>Signe will put the overview over conductors, referees and chefs up on Facebook, where we will be able to write our names in.</p>	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 8

Topic of Discussion	Paris 8	
Presenter(s)	Louise	
Discussion summary	<p>Discussion whether:</p> <p>We will send the EC to Paris 8 in the end of September:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We should definitely send two people. - The full EC should be able to go Paris. <p>We will try to get funds from “Rektors udviklingspulje”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We should try to get funding from “Rektors udviklingspulje” - If not, the chairmanship should try to find the money. 	
Conclusions	<p>The board has approved that it will send the full EC to Paris.</p> <p>The board has approved that it will try to get funding from “Rektors udviklingspulje”. If that application gets rejected, the economic chairwoman will find the money in the budget.</p>	
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 9

Topic of Discussion	AOB
Presenter(s)	Conductors
Discussion summary	Thomas says he liked to attend the meeting
Conclusions	

Action Items	Responsible	Deadline

Agenda Point 10

Topic of Discussion			
Presenter(s)			
Discussion summary			
Conclusions			
Action Items	Responsible	Deadline	