

# Minutes from the board meeting of the Student Council

**Date: 13th of March 2018**

## **Members of the board present:**

Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen (FM), Signe Tolstrup Mathiasen (FM), Phillip Crilles Bacher (UB), Marcus Turunen (UB), Pia Maagaard Hansen (AR), Erik Lørup (AR), Micky Winther Ronnenberg (AR), Anne-Sophie Schröder (AR), Amanda Costa Bizarro (AR), Katrine Damberg (AR), Mathilde Elisa Vendelholt, Signe Bøtzau Paulsen, Annika Roe, Yavuz Inekci, Rasmus Duus Daugaard, Lea Holritzer Pehrson, Sisse Marie Sjøgren Nielsen, Peter Dusan Nicic Sørensen, Morten Jensen (alternate), Erik Slot Malmqvist (alternate), Nicolai Otto (alternate), Mennan Şerefoğlu (alternate)  
22 people

FM: Formandskab / the Chairmanship

FU: Forretningsudvalg / Executive Committee

AR: Akademisk Råd / Academic Council

UB: Universitetsbestyrelsen / The University Board

## **Absent with abolition:**

Louise Mattesen Provstgaard (FM), Julie Lund Jensen,

## **Absent without abolition:**

Sofie Holmbjerg

## **Observers:**

## **Point 1: Formalities**

**Election of conductors:** Rasmus and Mennan

**Election of minute taker:** Pia

**Approval of the agenda:** Approved

## **Approval of last BM minutes:**

Approved with the correction for point 3 that instead of moving 5000 kr. to a new “STUNE Political” post, 5000 kr. from “Event puljen” will be earmarked for political events in STUNE.

---

## **Point 2: Orientations (O)**

### **1. Chairmanship**

Written: *in the appendix.*

Oral: *comments below.*

- Johan adds that he will be out of the office next week on a study trip.

### **2. EC**

Written: *in the appendix.*

Oral: *comments below.*

- Signe T. adds that we have talked about the OK18 and the influences it will have for us as students in case of a strike or a lockout. We have made an info meeting on Friday the 23th of March.
- Signe T. adds that we are protesting against the recommendation from the “Committee on Improved University Educations” to remove the decision-making competence of the study boards.
- Johan adds to this that we were at the “action” together with DSF yesterday, and that there will be a lot of focus on this the next couple of days and we will be campaigning against it. Also Micky was in the news yesterday.

### **3. UNIPOL**

Written: *in the appendix.*

### **4. LPU**

Written: *in the appendix.*

### **5. HUM-RÅD**

Written: *in the appendix.*

### **6. UB**

Oral: *comments below.*

- By Phillip

Phillip and Marcus have suggested a theme for the University-board seminar. For which they suggested more inclusion and university-democracy.

---

### **Point 3: Evaluation of the Board Seminar (D)**

B/ Signe Tolstrup

- Oral orientation on the results.

Comments:

- Katrine had a presentation by lector at INM on the history of RUC and the Student Council, which she suggest to consider using for future board seminars at RUC.
  - Next time make it more clear what we want to work with on the seminar.
  - We should try to focus on less things and have more time for them - especially the work in the committees.
- 

### **Point 4: Approval of committee 'action plans' (DE)**

B/ Conductors

#### **1. PR committee:**

*/Not any changes since the board meeting*

**Comments:**

- Consider how many students actually visit our website.
- Name change: There are comments on renaming the board meetings.
- There is a comment, that part of the board is against changing the name to "SR meeting with the board".
- It will in any case has to be brought up on a board meeting and decided there.

**Proposed:** *that we pass the action plan with a note that it has to be written as coherent text and that the name change will be taken up again later in the board.*

- ***Approved with the proposed note.***

#### **2. CIP**

*/Not any changes since the board meeting*

**Comments:**

- Phillip had a suggestion
- National Political work should be worked with in LPU, the formulation might be misunderstood.
- Keeping the part about national political work as it is.

**Proposed:** Changing the to a (Change fell)

- ***Approved without the proposal.***

**3. LPU**

*/Not any changes since the board meeting*

**Comments:**

- The national political committee should also do national political work
  - eg. mobilizing students for large event.
- Point 3 shows how the committee will work with the national political work.
- It could be more concrete - making local activism and campaigning.
- It should be LPU's responsibility to mobilize and campaign for national political courses.
- To motivate and activate students in DSF work.
- Influence DSF as much as we can in order to be able to stand behind them.

**Proposal:** ***Approved with the proposal.***

1. **Mathilde proposes** rewriting the action plan so national political activities are more visible and coherent throughout the text.
  - a. facilitating the local work in regards to national political activities.
    - *Proposal is passed*

**4. Organisational committee**

- ***No comments***
- ***Approved.***

## 5. Academic event committee

- *No comments*
- *Approved.*

## 6. STUNE

- *No comments*
  - *Change STUNE to stud. rep. network*
  - *Changes we to they.*
  - *Change.... to make the candidates aware of...*
- *Approved.*

## 7. RUS

- *No comments*
- *Approved.*

## 8. RBC

- **Comments:**
  - *There needs to be one more party.*
  - *Taking a look on the months of the parties*
  - *Party in November*
  - *RBC takes the comments into consideration.*
- **Proposal:**
  - **Johan proposes** putting in a point under goals saying:
    - *Doing social events for RUC-students*
    - ***Proposal is approved***
  - **Rasmus proposes** that the overall action plan should be approved on the next board meeting.
    - *RBC take the comments into consideration.*
    - ***Proposal is approved***

## 9. UNIPOL

- **Comments:**

- *Making it more specified on what we mean about our paroles.*
  - *More coherent*
  - *Write in the actual paroles.*
- *Make it less internal.*
- *Put in the calendar. (RUC meeting calendar)*
- *Explain “akkreditering” and student ombud*
- **Proposal:**
  - **Katrine proposes** that the overall action plan should be approved on the next board meeting.
    - *UNIPOL takes the comments into consideration.*
    - **Proposal is approved**
  - **Phillip** proposes that we approve it now and orientate with a rewriting action plan on the next board meeting.
    - *UNIPOL take the comments into consideration.*
    - **Proposal fell**

OBS: Changes to the agenda: to take 5 min from point 6 “Roskilde Festival”, 5 min from point 7 “Election of University Election-group” and 5 min from point 8 “Discussion & Revision of Volunteer Strategy”.

---

### **Point 5: Discussion and setting down of a campaign group (DE/D)**

B/Mathilde and Johan

Mathilde explains the point. At the board seminar there were a lot of talk about the campaign and to sum up and act on these inputs, there is a proposal to set down a working group for the visibility campaign. Key points from the board seminar: visibility, positivity campaign about what we already did in SR, make it visible for other students how SR is organised and structured.

Open discussion about what a visibility campaign could be about.

Sisse is asking how the goal of this campaign differ from the PR-group’s work on

visibility. Agreement that the campaign includes different groups of SR, especially.

- Coffee pop up: telling story about what SR already did
- Simplify the work of SR in three categories: social, political and academic
- summer party after the hand in of projects.
- Summer party is nice, but it is different from a visibility campaign for SR.
- UNIPOL should be included. A christmas calendar is a good idea, but it would be nice to supplement it with putting focus of the work of SR to make people remember it for the elections.
- It would be strange to put down a working group to do almost the same as the PR-committee group.
- To make a working group could include people in the work around visibility, who don't have the time to join a committee.
- A working group about visibility could supplement the PR-committee.
- Important to make a clear distinction between the theme for internal and external use. 'Visibility' could be an internal focus.
- An external theme could be the 50 years anniversary for the student uprising, which could include student politics during the years and SR-work. At the same time this theme could include different committees in the working group.
- Proposal: the PR-committee could facilitate the work of the working group. The focus of the working group should only be the campaign.
- Campaign: student democracy /democracy at universities
- Proposal: set up a working group between PR-committee and LPU.
- The PR-committee is not necessarily about making campaigns.
- Time frame proposal: relatively short campaign. Spice it up with social event. Rather few and nice events during the campaign than keeping it too long of a campaign.
- Slogan proposal: 50 years of student influence. Student democracy.
- It is not about taking away responsibility from the PR-committee, but rather as the board and other people would like to join and carry out activities.
- The stune network would probably like to do some similar stuff.
- A small group will meet up and include more during the process.

- UNIPOL also put down a working group about the study boards and is trying to include the stud.rep network.  
Proposal: two parallel tracks: the working group with PR-committee and another group of UNIPOL and Stud.rep.
- Proposal: PR could be the facilitator for the meetings. The meetings should be open to everyone from the board who would like to join.
- Important to not only look back, but also look forward to how the wind is blowing about student influence. Invite political spokesmen from different parties to debate student influence 50 years from the student uprising.

Campaign theme: Student democracy (approved)

Putting down a working group (approved)

PR-committee should be the facilitator (falled)

Members of the working group: Signe Tolstrup, Marcus, Johan, Mathilde, Philip, Anne-Sophie.

### **Point 6: Roskilde Festival (O/D)**

B/Signe

**Orientation by Signe T:** The last years the SR have made a volunteer effort at Roskilde Festival. We get most of our unbound money from here. We have already hired three coordinators, who is handling all the planning. Signe would like the board to consider being a volunteer at the festival, which should be very chill (when there is enough volunteers). You'll have to work 4 shifts of 8 hours in the caravan area. It is older people, who are very relaxed and "clean" who live there. You'll walk around with a buddy and look for fires and illegally parked cars or sit in one of the gates. It is important that we get the money – sign up for being a volunteer yourself and ask your friends to join you.



---

### **Point 7: Election of University Election-group**

B/Johan and Rasmus

**Orientation:** We set down a working group to formulate the overall strategy. Before summer the board will have to elect the election candidates.

Members of the election group: Philip, Micky, Pia, Amanda, Signe T., Rasmus, Johan, Mathilde, Katrine.

Note: the subject councils often send people to this working group as well – so please make them aware.

---

### **Point 8: Discussion & Revision of Volunteer strategy (DE/D)**

B/Conductors

Comments:

- Johan: *In general it would be nice to consider Annas recommendations from her point on the board seminar. Rethink the paper so that it fits this years situation.*
- Signe: *We should think about why we need volunteers and what we need them for. What makes sense for the organisation and what makes sense for the volunteers. What is the working environment for the volunteers.*
- Katrine: *The paper can be difficult to use in practice, but works well as a theoretical paper, that can be used to understand volunteers. Another aspect is how to include students at RUC.*
- Annika: *One side of a two sided medal, this is an internal view - we need one that can be given to volunteers as a tool. A workflow could be a good idea. What happens after recruiting?*

- Mennan: *Volunteer environment at the student council - We have to remember that we “are on”, when we meet someone new, because this could be their first meeting with the student council. Be aware that you are representing the student council.*
- Katrine: *There is a difference between a volunteer and “core actives”. When do we meet the actives and how do we include them more in our organisation. This discussion should be continued somewhere else.*
- Johan: *It is very election oriented and it has an internal perspective. Keep it in mind and work with/ debate it.*
- Signe: *Adapt it to how we work with it in the student council - less general and more focus on what SR does. We should not pass it yet but continue the work and adapting of it. The board should think about if we should still have volunteer positions?*
- Anne-Sophie: *What is the definition of a volunteer? Is it RBC, election, RF? We should invite our volunteers more to internal parts such as committees and do it directly.*
- Javuz: *People doesn't know that they can do projects for us - let students do funded projects that are on their initiative but cored from SR.*
- Mathilde: *A public volunteer strategy with what work you can do in SR, as an external tool. And then keep this as an internal paper.*
- Signe B.: *There are information on the website on how to be a volunteer and what work you can do. Should we update that and what can we do with that?*
- Johan: *Tutoring - Who are volunteers and who isn't? Tutors, chairmanship*
- Katrine: *Activities bank, Public for volunteers. - Making the inner circle bigger. Invite friends + bring new friends. It is our responsibility to invite people and give people responsibility to make them stay.*
- Micky: *Everyone that does someone for SR, especially RBC, are our volunteers. We need to know what end goal this has - we need more direction to discuss these.*
- Katrine: *We should have a group to look at the paper and incorporate the comments by rewriting it and then take it up on another board meeting.*

- Anne-Sophie: *It would be nice to have questions for all the points.*
- Annika: *Be aware of the invisible rules.*
- Katrine: *We should talk about the offices and how we make them more open for the board and volunteers.*
- Pia: *We have talked about it in the EC - and very much feel like there needs to be something done to the office space.*
- Johan: *We will take the office space up on a board meeting.*
- Signe B.: *Make a list of the things with names in the office and show it to the new people.*
- Phillip: *Make the working environment a part of volunteers environment.*
- that the paper includes all of the different kinds of volunteers such as RBC, tutoring, STUNE and more.
  
- **Mennan proposes:** to postpone the voting for the paper now and instead make a working group.

- **Approved.**

**Mennan proposes to create a working group which:**

- *Makes detailed/ concrete guides*
- *Maps what kind of volunteers we do have*
- *Look at the Activity bank*
- *Discuss an external paper*
- *Look at invisible rules.*

- **Approved**

**People in the working group:** Katrine, Annika and Phillip (and Signe).

Part of the discussion:

- **Katrine proposes:** (Moved to AOB)
  1. New strategy for volunteer
  2. *Make a group to work on the offices space. \**
    - *Post-it on what will make a good offices environment.*
  3. *Keep working environment on the agenda in June. \**

- **Phillip proposes:** (Moved to AOB)
  - *to make the working environment a part of volunteers environment.\**
- 

### **Point 9: Upcoming Work Tasks**

B/Signe Tolstrup

- Spread the word and invite your friends to the Facebook-event for the OK18 info-meeting.
- 

### **Point 10: A.O.B**

- **Work Environment in the big office:**
  - Suggestion to have a meeting with different representatives, anyone who wants to be a part of the meeting.
  - Open discussion on what needs to be done to make the office more usable.
- **Meetings in the future - it is important to remember the:**
  - Framing of the meeting
  - To let us know
    - What are we discussing?
    - What should we bring to the table?
- **Snapchat group:**
  - Signe T. invites everyone to the board snapchat group.
- **Instagram:**
  - Pia asks if anyone would like the instagram this week?
    - Signe B. would like to take it.

- **Comment on facebook post about the study boards**
  - Remember to be accurate and be very clear about the things that are implicit.