

## **Minutes from the board meeting of the Student Council**

**Date: 2. of October 2017**

### **Members of the board present:**

Phillip Crilles Bacher (FM), Marcus Turunen (FM), Patrick Kulas (FM), Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen (FU + AR), Malik Bahloul (FU + AR), Emma Bohn Vinkel (FU + AR), Therese Cederberg Nielsen (UB), Pia Maagaard Hansen, Anna Gkioka, Erik Lørup, Frederikke Veirum Høgsgaard, Anne-Sophie.

FM: Formandsskab / the Chairmanship

FU: Forretningsudvalg / Executive Committee

AR: Akademisk Råd / Academic Council

UB: Universitetsbestyrelsen / The University Board

### **Absent with abolition:**

Alan Kernahan (FU + UB), Marie Sønderstrup (AR), Signe Tolstrup Mathiasen, Karoliina Kantola, Philipa Olivia Dige.

### **Absent without abolition:**

Frederik Storm (alternate)

### **Observers:**

### **Point 1: Formalities**

B/

**Election of conductor: Marcus has been elected**

**Election of minute taker: Phillip has been elected**

**Approval of the agenda: The Agenda has been approved**

### **Point 2: mood round**

B/

### **Point 3: Briefings**

B/ Conductor

Volunteer positions:

At the strategy seminar we decided to make a number volunteer positions. These positions have now been made and we are waiting for applications. Make sure to spread the word.

EC:

The EC had a weekend. It went well and spend a lot of time talking about the internal working distribution. We also talked about the coming General Assembly and has put quite a high bar for how many participants we want.

Tutoring:

The job listing for the chairmanship of tutoring 2018 have now been posted.

PK:

For the next PK there might be a shift in the amount of votes, so that the big MO's might go down in their amount of votes.

#### **Point 4: Budget revision**

B/ Patrick

Presentation from Economic Vice-chairman

Ideas for how RBC could spend their money: It should be clear that we spend the money

- New t-shirts, Draft Beer system, New banner, Big Party after General Assembly, Party after Revy, Pavilions, Transportation, Sound-system, Storage, Very expensive stand-up act, Lower bar prices and free food

Roskilde Festival:

- We got less money from Roskilde Festival than anticipated. This money is free money and is not bound by anything. So now that we have less money, we have to find money somewhere else. The Roskilde Festival coordinator spend less money and so we can find some there.

And we have received extra money from the student handbook.

We still have to receive the money from the unions.

- What can we do to improve the office:
  - Plant (a big one, since they die slower), Cupboards and storage system, framed policy papers, an interactive screen for outside the office, shelves in the top of the office, new tables, new chairs for the board members, new table for the subject council office, SAFE, Digital storage in the office.
- We have a general problem with spending money for our educational activities
- There is a motion to move 1000 kr. from from RUS-udvalg to the Diverse
  - The motion is carried
- There is a motion that 1000 kr. should be moved from the study-start to UNIPOL
  - The motion is carried
- Overall it looks good, we need to spend more money!

The board has approved this budget revision.

## **Point 5: 5-Year Plan**

B/ Phillip

Phillip made a presentation about the contents.

Discussion about how it should be implemented by the organisation.

It should be on the General assembly, but it should not bind the future boards so much, especially the last board should not be accountable to the slacking of past boards.

There should be some kind of prioritisation in our goals.

There should perhaps not be concrete goals. There should be some visualisation of the plan.

It should be on a board level. It should be evaluated every year. It should be the principle guiding plan for the annual working.

We need to make a construction in which the each annual plan should somehow contribute to the five year plan. We need to look at the 5 year plan as a strategy and we need to see it as a tool and not a measurement.

Phillip write what you said

Maybe it should be done so that there is an official 5 year plan, made by the general assembly and unofficial 5 year plan, made by the board that is handed over each year.

The level of commitment is not a pseudo problem, it can be a very real problem, since the student council should be a place where things can also happen sporadically.

The election slogans should be a part of the the 5 year plan.

The at any given time sitting board should propose changes to the plan every year before the general assembly.

The overall point is to be able to say no to things, and our actions (and slogans), should be derived from the 5 year plan. A hierarchy of plans.

In practice it might not be a good idea to put it on the general assembly, since it is very technical.

There should be mentioning and a definition of it the statutes.

There should be goals in the plan, so that it does not become manifest.

The five year plan should run for five years and then a new one should be made.

What Phillip said the second time

There is a big difference between the organizational and political goals. Organizational is easier to agree upon and easier to do since they are mostly internal. Politically is more difficult, since it is dependent on external changes.

Hierarchy of policies. Needs to go in between our existing policies.

Should be concrete, both politically and organisational.

Proposal for process:

- should be finished by the next board meeting
- Board should present it for the General Assembly
- Then it should be possible to revise it at each General Assembly

### **Point 6: Roskilde Festival**

B/ Marcus

The plan was that a complete concept should have been presented, but it has not been completed.

Talk about why we had structural problems. It was difficult to recruit people.

It might be a problem that there was so little people it became a problem that the volunteers had to work much harder.

We are working on making a new concept on having a new concept which is more of all year effort. It would be a little more expensive, but it would probably be a good investment.

It should be made clear that the people who are volunteering are volunteers for the student council.

We the board should decide how big an effort we should have at the Festival.

Marcus is looking forward to presenting the new concept.

### **Point 7: Tutoring Evaluation**

B/ Patrick

It has been voiced that this point should be followed by a discussion about what should happen with tutoring.

Patrick is doing a presentation about the evaluation

comments from the board

Something about how the evaluation was presented by the lackeys, which was very condescending.

What about the evaluations from the tutors? They will be presented at a later time.

### **Point 8: Board Coziness**

B/ Marcus

Nobody wants to plan it

### **Point 9: PK Delegation**

B/ Marcus

The theme of the next PK will be housing and SU.

We need to find a delegation leader. The delegation leader is in charge of ensuring that the delegation is prepared for the conference as well as ensuring that we carry out the policy of SR RUC. They also make alliances with other MO.

Therese is running and makes a motivation:

- She has done it three times before and is very capable to do and since we have election around the same time it would be smart to send her so that other people are free to do election.

Malik talks about how awesome it is to go to PK.

We should be sure to send a lot of people.

We should ask the RUC students if they would like to go and the subject councils.

### **Point 10: A.O.B**

B/ Conductor

- The election committee should decide if we should do a peace election or not on the

samfundsstudier studyboard and ISE institute council

- The future of the general assembly:
  - It should be rebranded a lot of branding as our “annual day”
  - RBC should be a part of this
  - A day is found, it should not be in the weekend, so that more people can attend.
  - Something about themes.
  - There is a working group, they will meet at 12 on tuesday the 3rd of october
  - It would be nice if the board would take active part in facilitating the General Assembly

Meeting is closed