

Minutes from the board meeting of the Student Council Date:

Members of the board present:

Phillip Crilles Bacher (FM), Marcus Turunen (FM)**, Patrick Kulas (FM), Malik Bahloul (FU + AR), Alan Kernahan (FU + UB), Emma Bohn Vinkel (FU + AR), Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen (FU + AR)*, Marie Sønderstrup (AR), Pia Maagaard Hansen, Signe Tolstrup Mathiasen, Karoliina Kantola, Emma Engstrøm (AR) (alternate),

11 people

FM: Formandskab / the Chairmanship

FU: Forretningsudvalg / Executive Committee

AR: Akademisk Råd / Academic Council

UB: Universitetsbestyrelsen / The University Board

*Arrived after meeting started

**Left before the meeting ended

Absent with abolition:

Philipa Olivia Dige, Therese Cederberg Nielsen (UB), Frederik Storm (alternate)

Absent without abolition:

Emma Bech, Anna Gkioka, Frederikke Veirum Høgsgaard, Erik Lørup

Observers:

None present

Point 1: Formalities

B/

Election of conductor:
approved

Election of minute taker:
approved

Approval of last BM minutes:
Signe should be moved from present to absent with abolition.

It is suggested that we have someone who goes through the minutes grammatically afterwards. Mikkel Vejle will do so before upload it.

It should be changed that when writing down the names of the person speaking it should be clear who is referred to e.g. Emma V, Emma E.

The person responsible for working groups should be written in the minutes.

Regarding point 8 logo on t-shirt, it is suggested that the discussion is moved from the minutes as it is very personal. It is counter argued with the fact that it might show that we are hiding something and that we should be proud of our opinions as we argue well for our points.

Unfinished sentences should be finished.

Minutes are approved with the abovementioned corrections.

Approval of the agenda:

Changing the date

Eva Bendix presentation would be removed. i.e. Eva Bendix is part of EAE who is in charge for the further education of VIP's at the university and wanted to share her thoughts on the didactics of the courses. However she is ill today.

Start earlier with the combi analysis.

The point on the web-page is gonna be removed.

Agenda is approved

Point 2:

B/

Everyone had moods.

Point 3: Language Policy Paper (DE)

B/

It is decided as the danish version is the one becoming effective, the changes should be regarded the danish version.

Suggested changes:

- Meaning changes
 - §10: changed to “[...] alle engelsktalende studerende at forstå indholdet af, og deltage i, alle bestyrelsesmøder”
 - §11.b: changed to “Hvis dele af mødet afholdes på dansk, skal dette altid oversættes bagefter”
- Changes to the translated/English version
 - §11 line 1: “Apendixes” should be changed to “appendices”
 - §11 line 3: Change “enters into force” to “becomes effective”
 - §11.a: Change “translator” to “translation”
 - §11.b: Changed to “If parts of the meeting are held in Danish, it Should always be translated afterwards”

The suggested changes was approved

The language policy was approved with the abovementioned corrections.

Point 4: Combi Analysis (P/D)

B/ Emma Vinkel

The topic has been discussed a lot at UNIPOL, which is why it is being broad up at the board meeting. so that we as a board can agree upon what our joint attitude towards it is.

What is it about:

- Ensuring the quality of our educations - not about cost savings
- There has been pointed out 55 combinations that is suggested to be closed
- The board of directors will make the decision on which combinations will be

closed, on in either May or June (Contact Emma Vinkel if more concrete information is desired)

- The reduction will happen in September 2018
- It is pointed out that there is no specific amount of combinations that are to be closed i.e. it could be 5 as well as 55. However, the board of directors have good argumentation for why to close 55 combinations
- The points will be presented to UL i.e. the rectorship, study leaders, institute leaders and the department managers, who will then decide and present their suggestion to the board. this will be done in May
- Discussion:
 - It is not an argument that the amount of combinations are not a valid argument, it should be followed by other argumentations

The 5 characters presented from the board of directors:

- Quality i
- Volume
- Labour Market
- Research Basis
- Closure of Study Programmes

UNIPOLS opinions:

- Avoid silo formation i.e. that you can only combine with subjects within your main area e.g. On Nat you can only combine subjects with other Nat subjects
- Combinations with few or none students should only be closed if it isn't academically relevant or a healthy scientific environment
- Subjects with an unproportional amount for combinations should be taken into consideration

Discussion:

- The procedure of the Combination Analysis should be criticized as it has not been transparent.
- We would like to see the arguments for why the certain combinations should be closed.
 - It is pointed out that all suggested closed combinations are augmented

for.

- The argument that it is easier to statistically measure what RUC students are studying with less combinations shouldn't be an argument.
- It is pointed out that the character "Volume" has had great influence when it has been broad up in the study boards, which we should go against.
- it is pointed out that we should gonna do, in a futuristic perspective. We should discuss what we are gonna vote.
- Not arguing why to keep, but arguing why to close should be an important aspect to our approach.
- it is important to mention that we have plenty of opportunities to raise our voices i.e. in the Academic Council, the Board of Directors, Study Boards, UDDU i.a.
- We should discuss how to strategically approach this topic, so we don't tie our representatives down.
- UNIPOL will from now on work on how to approach this topic.

The board of Student Council agrees with the parameters set by UNIPOL.

Point 5: Political Conference (W)

B/

Groups:

- Theme: Frederikke, Pia, Erik, Patrick, Johan, Signe, Karoliina
 - Meets in the cafeteria
 - Responsible: Malik
- Bar: Patrick, Marcus, Philip, Erik and Mikkel Sørensen (External)
 - Meets wherever they wanna meet
 - Responsible: Patrick
- Logistics: Emma Vinkel, Emma Engstrøm, Frederikke, Malik, Alan, Marie
 - Meets in the meeting room
 - Responsible: Emma Vinkel
- Coordination: Johan, Malik, Emma Vinkel, Alan, Philip, Patrick, Marcus
 - Responsible: EC
 - Not being worked on during this point
- Money: Patrick

- Responsible: Patrick
- not being worked on during this point

Point 6: A.O.B

B/

PK delegation (D/DE):

- Election of Delegation Leader
 - Marcus Turunen is suggested
 - Marcus is elected by applause

Being in the delegation means that you are officially representing SR RUC at PK, going to delegation meetings, being SR RUCs voice at PK, cannot do any practical stuff during PK.

We are hosting this, 3 people are the minimum that we can be representing. We have 8 votes, and it is custom to have the amount of people in the delegation that you have votes.

Discussion:

- it is argued that we should have as many people in the delegation as possible.
- Having a good mix of subject council people, and SR people in the delegation - having a good balance.
- It should be a person who is comfortable speaking up in a big crowd.
- it is a great learning experience.
- Time consumption: Marcus have delegation meetings with other MO's. Besides that there should be meetings between the people in our delegation.
 - From earlier experiences: 2-3 pre-meetings around 2-3 hours, close to PK.
- It is noted that we need many volunteers as well. If you are not part of the delegation, it would be nice if you could volunteer.
- You can still be a part of PK even if you cannot come at all times during PK
- If you know someone who wants to be a volunteer, let Phillip know.
- Everyone should feel free to join the pre-meetings of SR RUC's delegation.
- Election of the delegation (Min. 3 people)
- SamRåd asks if you getting anything for being a volunteer e.g. cup, t-shirt

Election of delegation:

- Signe, Pia, Johan, Marie, Emma Vinkel, Malik

The people have to send their information to Marcus by Friday!!

DSF campaign network strategy

DSF has asked for our help. They would like to have more people to draw on when having campaigns e.g. SU patruljen. The amount of time consumption is unknown.

Johan will check it out.

Participation at Board meetings

Discussion:

- It says in our statutes that it is mandatory to participate in board meetings i.e. this also includes alternates
- It is suggested that Phillip should have a talk with the people about why they are not coming, and about the importance of them coming.
- It undermines the foundation of the organisation when people do not show up, and do not give abolition.
- It is suggested that we write them about the delegation, and that the deadline is Friday if they want to be a part of it.
- Remember the mentor arrangement: if something is up grab your mentor or Phillip and have a talk with them about it.
- Practical suggestion: make sure that people have the correct days for.
- It is annoying being an alternate who actually wanted to be in the board putting a lot of time and effort in to the Student Council, when members of the board aren't showing interest when not showing up.

And the meetings is closed

