

Board meeting 15th of November 2018  
Annexure #10



Content

Agenda for Board Meeting Monday the 15 <sup>th</sup> of November 2018.....	2
Minutes from the board meeting of the Student Council.....	3
<b>Appendix #1</b> Orientation from the chairmanship.....	12
<b>Appendix #2</b> Orientation from the Executive Committee .....	13

## Agenda for Board Meeting Saturday the 15<sup>th</sup> of November 2018

1. Formalities 15:30 -15:45
  - a. Election of conductors
  - b. Election of minute taker
  - c. Approval of minutes from the last board meeting
  - d. Approval of the agenda
2. Orientations (O) 15:45 - 16:00
3. Campus development 16:00 -16:45  
*Peter Lauritzen*
4. Break 16:45 -17:00
5. Election 2018 evaluation 17:00 -17:45
6. Annual accounts (DE/D) 17:45 -19:15
7. Break 19:15 - 19:30
8. General assembly 19:30 - 19:50
9. A.O.B. 19:50 - 20:00
10. Dinner 20:00 - ????

# Minutes from the board meeting of the Student Council

Date	13th of October
Members of the Board present	Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen (FM), Signe Tolstrup Mathiasen (FM), Louise Mattesen Provstgaard (FM), Phillip Crilles Bacher (UB), Thomas Juul Hangaard (UB), Pia Maagaard Hansen (AR), Micky Winther Ronnenberg (AR), Katrine Damberg (AR), Signe Bøtzau Paulsen, Rasmus Duus Daugaard, Lea Holritzer Pehrson, Nicolai Otto (alternate)  12 people  <u>Abbreviations:</u> FM: Formandsskab / the Chairmanship FU: Forretningsudvalg / Executive Committee AR: Akademisk Råd / Academic Council UB: Universitetsbestyrelsen / The University Board
Absent with abolition	Erik Lørup (AR)
Absent without abolition	Anne-Sophie Schröder (AR), Amanda Costa Bizarro (AR), Sofie Holmbjerg, Yavuz Inekci, Julie Lund Jensen, Peter Dusan Nacic Sørensen, Morten Jensen (alternate), Erik Slot Malmqvist (alternate), Mennan Şerefoğlu (alternate)
Observers	Sif Stokholm, Cecilie Möller, Antonio Cavagnari

## Formalities

Election of conductors	Johan and Thomas
Election of minute taker	Signe Tolstrup
Approval of the agenda	The agenda has been amended because the annual account is not ready. Suggestion to add more time to both the point about PK and the Green Student Movement. Approved.
Approval of the last Board meeting minutes	Approved, but it has to be translated to English

## Orientations

Committee	Presenter	Short summary of the orientation given
FU / EC		Written in the appendix
LPU	Pia	Pia has been to two LF-meetings (board meetings in DSF) since we last met. Among other things, they have discussed DSF's work plan, the proposal for a revised contingent key and the proposal for a policy paper on housing politics.
Tutoring		
Stud. rep.	Katrine	The student representatives network had a meeting last week with a few participants. They shared experiences with being representatives in Study Boards. The format of the meeting worked well and the participants were enthusiastic. The next meeting is planned to be the 7th of November, where the newly elected representatives will be invited as well.
Organisational committee		
UNIPOL	Katrine	There has been a UDDU meeting, where there was a lot of debate about co-examiners. The university leadership (UL) has made a decision to cut in the time co-examiners have to read projects without informing UDDU for quite a long time. Both us and a lot of the VIP's are very unhappy about that UDDU has not been involved in this decision. Now there is going to be a general debate about involvement and influence in UDDU and AR and we are writing a joint hearing statement with the VIP's in AR about our involvement in decision making processes.
CIP		
Academic Event Committee		
RBC	Rasmus	There is "sjaatfest" next Saturday (the 20th of October), and they have evaluated the semester start party with RUC Bar.
PR	Thomas	Have printed rain ponchos with the SR-logo for the election. They should be here the 18th of October.

## Agenda Point 3

Topic of Discussion	Lukket punkt
---------------------	--------------

<b>Presenter(s)</b>	
<b>Discussion summary</b>	

## Agenda Point 4

<b>Topic of Discussion</b>	DSF Political Conference
<b>Presenter(s)</b>	Signe Tolstrup
<b>Discussion summary</b>	<p>We discuss our opinion on DSF's housing policy which is going to be debated and approved on the Political Conference the 2nd to 4th of November.</p> <p>It is being clarified what the role of delegation leader entails. This is to lead our delegation from RUC, conducting delegation meetings and participating in delegation leader meetings with the other delegations leaders both before and during PK, to ensure that the political conference goes as smoothly as possible. As delegation you work on amendments and amendments to amendments to the housing policy and the working plan.</p> <p>Comments to the housing policy from group 1:  Line 37: We think it is weird that it says "academic"  Line 58: consider writing something more about that new buildings should be handicap-friendly.  Line 87: the issue about that some places, especially dorms, discriminate based on grades.  In general, the paper repeat it self a bit, which makes it longer than it had to be.</p> <p>Group 2:  There is missing an issue in the section "et usikkert lejemarked" and "ikke nok boliger" - that the precarious rental market gets worse by the fact that there are not enough housing. The connection between the two sections is important.</p>

	<p>Line 12-15: the hour from one's home to the place of one's study should be with public transport.</p> <p>Line 28: both municipal and state buildings</p> <p>Line: 30-37: more direct wording on temporary housing - that temporary housing cannot be a replacement of actual student housing.</p> <p>Line 40-41: self-contradiction in the whole section?</p> <p>Line 26-29: what is campus legislation? Can terminated buildings be made into student housing? The Planning Act opposite municipal buildings at the universities.</p> <p>National housing caranties are awesome.</p> <p>The section about the precarious rental market is very important and needs to be defenced.</p> <p>What is DSF's opinion on parent owned housing (forældrekøb)</p> <p>Strange phrasing about room for a desk, closet etc. This needs to be understood based on the fact that there needs to be a connection between what one pays and what there then needs to be room for. You could also refer to the existing legislation about square metres compares to the rent you pay - however the square metres are often calculated a bit weird. It is not necessary to refer to the rent act, since this is implicit in the word of DSF. The phrasing is good as it is, since it puts the student in the centre and is good to have if the rental act gets changed.</p> <p>There is an issue about "old" private dorms. It is hard to make demands eg. in relation to discrimination based on grades to private owners, since we after all have private property right in Denmark. However it's still important to aim the critique.</p> <p>Election of delegation leader: Phillip is running. He will fight to get our points through and is very excited.</p>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	<p>Phillip is elected as delegation leader.</p> <p>The deadline to sign up for the political conference is Friday the 19th of October at 12.00. Write to Phillip if you would like to be part of our delegation.</p>	
<b>Action Items</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
Pia tells Sana that Phillip is elected as delegation leader.	Pia	asap
Consider is you would like to be part of the delegation and tell Phillip before Friday the 19th.	Everyone.	19.10

## Agenda Point 5

<b>Topic of Discussion</b>	The Green Student Movement
<b>Presenter(s)</b>	Johan
<b>Discussion summary</b>	<p>We have to formulate a our opinion about the Green Student Movement, since it is not formulated in any of our policies.</p> <p>We can reformulate our educational principles on a General Assembly, but this might not be necessary. We just need to reach an agreement about what eg. UNIPOL should mean about green policies and the green student movement.</p> <p>Question if we are debating whether the Green Student Movement should be a part of the Student Council. Clarification: that's not the case. We just need to agree on what UNIPOL's mandate concerning climate policies should be.</p> <p>One point: of course we should have an opinion about climate policy. But it should not be a core struggle. If there is something on the agenda in a organ where it makes sense to include the "green angle", we should do it. But we don't need to push it ourselves.</p> <p>It makes sense to work on in relation to campus. The solar panels on the roofs, what RUC invest in etc. More academic debates about the curriculum should be in the study boards.</p> <p>Addition: we should offer to collaborate with the Green Student Movement by help them gain access to different bodies at RUC, keep each other posted when we talk with Hanne or other in the leadership at RUC about these issues.</p> <p>It is an issue that affects everyone, but especially young people - and in that way also the students we represent. New point: we should also be able to put climate issues on the agenda in different organs. Climate change is also relevant for student's lives.</p> <p>We should help the movement with voting.</p> <p>It is not our core task. The amount of points we can raise in eg. the Academic Council is very limited. So it can be very difficult to prioritize the green agenda over our core work to improve education.</p> <p>In terms of collaboration with the Green Student Movement, we need to emphasize that it is a collaboration - it goes two ways.</p> <p>Research strategy - this is a place where we can push for more research into climate change. But this is also a place, where we need the Green</p>



	<p>Student Movement to participate proactively and help us figure out how to work with this.</p> <p>In our working plan it says that we should support new student organizations. So let's help them, and also accept that they know much more about it than us.</p> <p>It's our job to give all student voice and help with capacity building.</p> <p>The argument that other issues are more important is the same argument that is used in national politics and this is why the climate crisis is so serious and has not been resolved yet.</p>	
<b>Conclusions</b>	<p>Clear majority to cooperate with the Green Student Movement and help them gain access to relevant bodies at RUC, by telling them who they should talk to about different issues. Then our elected can help them by voting for these issues.</p> <p>Agreement on working with "physical" issues at RUC, eg. the solar panels, renovation of buildings, investments.</p> <p>The board gives UNIPOL mandate to work with this, but it should not be our overruling agenda. UNIPOL is also welcome to invite the Green Student Movement to come to a meeting or make a workshop about climate issues.</p>	
<b>Action Items</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Deadline</b>

## Agenda Point 6

<b>Topic of Discussion</b>	A.O.B
<b>Presenter(s)</b>	Conductors
<b>Discussion summary</b>	1) Phillip has been contacted by a journalist earlier this week, who wanted to know why RUC still uses Danske Bank. Proposal that Phillip and Thomas propose to the University Board that RUC quits the cooperation with Danske Bank. Can we as a board support this?
<b>Conclusions</b>	1) The board supports the proposal to ask the University Board to quit the cooperation with Danske Bank. Suggestion to follow the line of CBS. It is very strong if the universities jointly boycotts Danske Bank. Phillip and Thomas write to the chairman of the board and ask to get it on the

	agenda. Potentially also contact CBS Students and ask how they have worked with this.	
<b>Action Items</b>	<b>Responsible</b>	<b>Deadline</b>

# Appendix #1

## Orientation from the chairmanship

*By Louise Mattesen Provstgaard*

Since the last board meeting at the autumn seminar, most of the time we've been busy with election. Both Signe and Johan has been coordinators which means that it's mostly Louise who has been taken care of the organization.

### **Tutoring**

Johan and Louise have hired a new rus-chairmanship and they got presented at BW. The new chairmanship is Lotte, André and Sebastian. All of them were lackeys this year. Just as an orientation two "teams" applied for being rus-chairmanship 2019. Afterwards Louise has been having a meeting with the new chairmanship to talk a bit about expectations to each other. We agreed that their contract would be signed after BW.

Also regarding tutoring, Louise was at BW. We went to Dokkedal which is in Nordjylland (normally BW takes place at Kramnitze). It was nice to try something else, except for the long bus ride. Overall my expression is that it went fine.

Soon Johan and Louise have to meet with the rectorat to evaluate this years tutoring. Before that, we will meet with the house coordinators and the four bachelor heads of studies to hear their opinions of this year's tutoring as well.

### **Other**

Louise has been in contact with last year's economic responsible and our bookkeeper almost every day to get a status on the annual report. It's a long and very very very frustrating process, but hopefully we are done within the next few days.

Signe has put out a job advert for a Roskilde main coordinator for 2019. The deadline for applications was Monday the 29th of October, but even though a few people wrote and showed interest, no one has applied. Therefore we need to push the advert some more and hope that someone will apply.

## Appendix #2

### Orientation from the Executive Committee

*By Pia Maagaard Hansen*

#### **Election**

Since the last board meeting election has been the mayor theme in EC work, which also means that we have all been busy with different parts of preparing and running the election throughout this month. Before the election, the coordinators, the election group and EC have hosted two election events for all the candidates, support candidates and volunteers, the election seminar and the election kick off. Since the majority of EC has been coordinators of the election, Johan, Signe, Lea and Rasmus, this has played a major role in the work, we have been during through out the month. We have made sure that any EC tasks that might have been during the election were given onto Louise and Pia, so that the coordinators could focus on giving us the best possible election and we're still securing that our EC work was being done under the election. With the election being earlier this year, it meant that we had very little time to find the candidates, prepare the candidates and prepare the election in general, which is also why election has played such a big part of our month. To sum it all up "election" is our keyword!

#### **Budget of 2017**

Louise has been working hard on making sure the budget of 2017 gets done and approved in order to secure our financial situation in the coming year. The budget is out of our hands right now, but we have been in constant contact with the different actives in the budget approval process, to make sure everything is going as fast as possible.

#### **Autumn Seminar**

We have hosted the autumn seminar this month, overall it was a success in many ways. It seemed to create a good sense of community within the organisation and strengthen the social environment. Especially the time spent with candidates seemed to have a positive effect on them getting to know each other and the organisation better. While there were many positive parts of the seminar, EC wishes that there would have been more members of the board at the seminar.

#### **New DUF application**

DSF has asked us to come with information for a new DUF application, since there was a problem with the application category DSF and all the MO's applied for last year. This means that Rasmus and our secretary work Jonas have been using some time on gathering and organising information that can be used for the new application.