

Minutes from General Assembly of the Student Council at RUC 2019

30th of November 2019





Table of Content

1.	Formalities	3
2.	Presentation and discussion of the boards account	3
3.	Proposed amendments to statues, educational political principles and membership fee	6
4.	Processing applications for collective membership	6
5.	Presentation of workplan and the educational political principles	7
6.	Workshop for writing amendments for the workplan	7
7.	Processing amendments to the workplan	7
8.	What does it mean to be in the Board	8
9.	Election of Chairpersonship	8
10.	Election of ordinary board members and alternates	8
11.	Election of critical audit	9
12.	Election of law committee	9
13	AOB	9



1. Formalities

The current chairperson of SR, Mathilde, opened the general assembly.

Katrine and Terese will conduct the general assembly.

Cecillie and Nicoline will take minutes.

Micky and Lea will be in the voting committee.

According to the statues paragraph 8 the General Assembly was rightly called. The general assembly was called on the 19th October via Facebook.

Paragraph 8, stk.2 has been upheld.

Regarding paragraph 8, stk.3 has not been upheld. The general assembly voted to make a dispensation from the statues. The dispensation was approved.

The law committee suggested to the board of 2020 to re-evaluate paragraph 8.

The general assembly approved the agenda.

The rules of procedure were approved with the change that point 6 in the rules of procedure should be changed to refer to paragraph 8 instead of paragraph 12. This is because paragraph 12 is referring to an extraordinary general assembly, which this general assembly is not.

2. Presentation and discussion of the boards account

Mathilde, Calvin and Lea presented the board account of 2019 orally.

The law committee suggest that the board of 2020 write it into the rules of procedure that the board account should be in written form as well.

The following minutes is a brief description the main points made of the different subjects mentioned in the board account of 2019.

Inclusion

The board have worked with inclusion. Here among they have passed a policy paper about inclusion of international students, supported a study-start initiative aimed at helping new international students at RUC with getting settled in Denmark. Furthermore, they have created and have success with the CIC committee (the Committee for International



Collaboration).

The board also worked with inclusion in AR. Last year a non-Danish speaking student was elected to AR. They pushed for an inclusive language policy in the Academic Council. There was support from non-student members for this point.

Climate

The board have worked with climate. A policy paper on climate was passed in the spring. Of new initiatives they mention a reduction of print, a vegetarian food policy and a conscious and critical view on our own waste – especially regarding the university election. The board also organized a climate strike at RUC. Furthermore, we had a climate-focused candidate this year for the Academic Council, who got elected.

Roskilde Festival

The Student Council participated in Roskilde Festival again in 2019.

The Student Council had difficulty finding enough volunteers this year – though this was not only a problem for the Student Council, but for the entire festival. About 1/5 of the planned volunteers went missing (did not show up for shifts) during the festival period, which was a problem.

The Student Council started work very late in the year and with a brand-new Festival team, which caused a few problems, that ultimately lead to more work for the Student Council.

The general feedback from the festival was more than satisfactory: praise from both volunteers and the festival team with promises of more freedom in 2020. Unfortunately, we were moved back to old team, so those promises may not be fulfilled.

The coordinators for Roskilde Festival 2020 have already been found, and they have already started working.

Tutoring

In 2019 tutoring there were initially much stricter rules of tutoring than in the past, e.g. no kitchen use, no house parties, etc.



There was a lot of discussions and work done during the year, resulting in many small" victories", but those led, in reality, just back to status quo.

In general, there was a high satisfaction with both tutors and new students. Less students felt obligated or pressured into drinking according to the evaluations. The evaluations also showed that many tutors wanted to participate in tutoring next year as well.

However, there was many complaints regarding the administration – here among especially regarding the use of buildings and the very late send out of the welcome letters related.

New ruschair and RUSK team have already been hired and first meeting with administration is in December 2019.

Student wellbeing

The board have had multiple theme discussion throughout the year leading to a larger focus on wellbeing at university. The focus has been widespread: in our own board, in tutoring and other projects and for all students at RUC.

The focus has been on creating attention on the subject, rather than concrete projects. This is seen as an important first step.

Election

The Student Council secured five seats in AR. The election for the Bachelor Study Boards went well as well, here three seats in each of the study boards were secured by the respective Bach Councils.

It has been a bit slow with both students and volunteers on campus in this year's election. The voting percentage was a bit lower than last year.

The flyers use was cut by 2/3 and both cups and posters were cut as well.

Overall it was a satisfactory election.

Economy

Overall it has been a good year economically.



The student council have negotiated with more unions than in past year, which have been a success.

Both tutoring and BW remained within budget.

The student council spent a lot of money om revision due to the accounting from 2018.

The student council should have spent more money on up qualification/education and events/campaigns.

Internal effort

It has been a though year as far as internal wellbeing goes.

Many decisions this year have been out of necessity or lack of volunteers or other options, many things have been affected by this

Necessary to look at our structure and how we potentially can make better room for the people in our organization

Ultimately, we have gotten the most out of what we had this year – making great progress with the cards we were dealt

Unipol

Unipol have gotten both small and big victories. Some of the things the student council have fought for a long time are starting to become noticed and are being brought up outside of the Student Council. An example of this are our fight for representation areas, which have been brought up at a meeting in the Academic Council.

- 3. Proposed amendments to statues, educational political principles and membership fee
- 4. Processing applications for collective membership

It has been suggested to the board of 2020 to look at the statues regarding this point. The student council does not accept collective membership, so the board could suggest amendments to the statutes for the next general assembly.



5. Presentation of workplan and the educational political principles

Mathilde, Maria and Calvin presented the educational political principles and the suggested workplan for 2020.

6. Workshop for writing amendments for the workplan

The workshop went well and amendments to the workplan for 2020 were made.

7. Processing amendments to the workplan

11 amendments have been made in total.

Proposal 1: Local priorities line 41. Passed.

Proposal 2: Climate line 109. Passed.

Proposal 3: The subject council's line 114-116. Passed.

Proposal 4: Student Representatives Network 129-137. Passed.

Proposal 5: Student Representatives Network 130-131. Gets deleted.

Proposal 6: International focus line 146-150. Passed with amendment.

Amendment to the amendment 6:

AM 1 Passed.

Proposal 7: Political conference autumn 2020 line 188. Passed with amendment.

Amendment to the amendment 7:

AM 1 Passed

Proposal 8: 5-year plan line 205. Rejected with comments. This should be in the statutes.

The board should consider writing into the statutes to have a continues five-year plan.

Proposal 9: 5-year plan line 206. Passes

AM 1 falls



In case the proposal falls, make sure that the Board take notes of the AM

Proposal 10: 5-year plan line 206. Passes.

Proposal 11: Academic Network (Fagligt Netværk) line 215. Passes.

After the amendments were processed, the general assembly moved to a vote of the amended workplan. The workplan was approved.

8. What does it mean to be in the Board

Sif presents the topic in a very nice and understanding way.

9. Election of Chairpersonship

Moved to after the break.

Mathilde, Calvin and Maria motivate and answer questions.

Mathilde Elisa Vendelholt is elected as chairperson

Calvin Carlson is elected as organizational vice chairperson

Maria Køpke Kjeldsen is elected as economic vice chairperson

10. Election of ordinary board members and alternates

Candidates who are running for board positions motivated.

Due to discussions on how to interpret the statues GA is asked to vote on the number of votes for the election of candidates to the board.

Cecilie Möller, Daniel Wilken, Julie Reventlow, Marc Backhausen Erichsen, Rasmus Tronier, Torkild Ørbæk a were elected as board members

Alternates elected in chronologically order:

1) Simon Kjær, 2) Lise Coermann Nygaard, 3) Maja Andresen, 4) Julie Lindemann



11. Election of critical audit

Camilla Emilie Steppat

Louise Mattesen Provstgaard

12. Election of law committee

Therese Cederberg Nielsen

Johan Hedegaard Jørgensen

Martin Vitved Schäfer

13. AOB

It has been very civilized – thank you.